# Future methane, hydroxyl, and their uncertainties: key climate and emission parameters for future predictions

3

4 Christopher D. Holmes<sup>1\*</sup>, Michael J. Prather<sup>1</sup>, Amund O. Søvde<sup>2</sup>, Gunnar Myhre<sup>2</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3100 USA

<sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup>Center for International Climate and Environmental Research (CICERO), Oslo, Norway

7 \*Correspondence to cdholmes@uci.edu

# 8 Abstract

9 Accurate prediction of future methane abundances following a climate scenario requires 10 understanding the lifetime changes driven by anthropogenic emissions, meteorological factors, 11 and chemistry-climate feedbacks. Uncertainty in any of these influences or the underlying 12 processes implies uncertainty in future abundance and radiative forcing. We simulate methane 13 lifetime in multiple models over the period 1997-2009, adding sensitivity tests to determine key 14 variables that drive the year-to-year variability. Across three atmospheric chemistry and transport 15 models—UCI CTM, GEOS-Chem, and Oslo CTM3—we find that temperature, water vapor, 16 ozone column, biomass burning and lightning NOx are the dominant sources of interannual 17 changes in methane lifetime. We also evaluate the model responses to forcings that have impacts 18 on decadal time scales, such as methane feedback, and anthropogenic NOx emissions. In general, 19 these different CTMs show similar sensitivities to the driving variables. We construct a 20 parametric model that reproduces most of the interannual variability of each CTM and use it to 21 predict methane lifetime from 1980 through 2100 following a specified emissions and climate 22 scenario (RCP 8.5). The parametric model propagates uncertainties through all steps and provides 23 a foundation for predicting methane abundances in any climate scenario. Our sensitivity tests also 24 enable a new estimate of the methane global warming potential (GWP), accounting for 25 stratospheric ozone effects, including those mediated by water vapor. We estimate the 100-year 26 GWP to be 32.

# 27 **1 Introduction**

28 Rising atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases are the main cause of current and future 29 climate change (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate change IPCC, 2007). Uncertainty in 30 mapping an emission scenario onto future abundance of greenhouse gases (GHGs) thus translates 31 almost directly into uncertainty in our ability to project climate change and its impact on nature 32 and society. To date, IPCC has generally adopted a single trajectory for the growth of greenhouse 33 gases in each of several different socio-economic scenarios, thus neglecting uncertainty in those 34 future abundances. For methane, the second most important anthropogenic GHG, these 35 trajectories are based on simple parametric formulas for methane lifetime. In the IPCC Third 36 Assessment Report (TAR), 4 parameters accounted for the change in tropospheric OH, the largest 37 atmospheric methane sink, due to anthropogenic emissions of CO, nitrogen oxides (NOx), and 38 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and the negative feedback between methane abundance and 39 tropospheric OH (Prather et al., 2001). Other sinks, which include oxidation in the stratosphere, 40 oxidation by tropospheric chlorine, and uptake into soil, were assessed but assumed not to change during the 21<sup>st</sup> century projections. For the upcoming IPCC 5<sup>th</sup> Assessment Report (AR5) the 41 42 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) scenarios adopt methane trajectories calculated in 43 the MAGICC model, which augments the TAR parametric formula with a temperature term 44 (Meinshausen et al., 2011a).

45 On small spatial scales, OH concentrations and methane oxidation depend on temperature,

46 pressure, sun elevation, clouds, UV attenuation by stratospheric ozone, and local concentrations

47 of water vapor, ozone, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, NOx, VOCs, and aerosols (e.g. Duncan et al., 2000; Olson et al.,

48 2006). Integrated globally and annually, some of these influences are small, but numerous studies

49 have found that temperature, circulation, water vapor, stratospheric ozone, clouds and natural and

50 anthropogenic emissions are important (Dentener et al., 2003; Fiore et al., 2008a; Hess and

51 Mahowald, 2009; Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1994; Stevenson et al., 2005; Voulgarakis et al., 2010).

52 Uncertainties in these factors and in the present-day methane budget mean that each

socioeconomic emission scenario could produce a range of future methane abundances (Prather etal., 2012).

55 Global climate model (GCM) simulations with atmospheric chemistry provide another method

56 for predicting future methane and other chemically reactive GHGs. An ensemble of such models

57 can provide a range of future methane abundances for a single scenario (e.g. Atmospheric

58 Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP) Lamarque et al., n.d.),

59 spanning some, but likely not all, future uncertainties. This approach is computationally

60 expensive, however, which restricts the number of socioeconomic scenarios and ensemble

61 members that can be explored.

62 In this work we develop a new parametric model for global methane lifetime that accounts for 63 climate-chemistry interactions that were neglected in previous approaches. We derive the 64 parametric factors from perturbation tests in a suite of 3 chemical transport models (CTMs), since 65 CTMs with detailed tropospheric chemistry provide the best mechanistic representation of 66 methane loss from tropospheric OH. We focus on the tropospheric OH sink because other 67 methane sinks are smaller and their intrinsic variability has a smaller impact on the total methane lifetime. The parametric model accounts for uncertainty in atmospheric chemistry based on the 68 69 range of perturbation responses across the CTMs. The perturbation tests also enable a new 70 estimate of the ozone contribution to methane radiative forcing and global warming potential. We 71 evaluate the parametric model against 13-year CTM simulations of methane lifetime, and against 72 observed variability in tropospheric OH, as measured by the decay of methyl chloroform. Finally, 73 we use this parametric model with uncertainties to make new projections of methane and its 74 uncertainties through 2100.

# 75 2 Model descriptions

We diagnose methane lifetime due to tropospheric OH,  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , from multi-year simulations in 3 different CTMs: University of Oslo CTM3, University of California, Irvine (UCI) CTM, and GEOS-Chem. All of these models are driven by assimilated meteorological data and configured to use the same emissions from anthropogenic, biogenic and biomass burning sources. We use year-specific meteorology spanning 1997-2009 for each model, except GEOS-Chem simulations with GEOS-5 meteorology, which are only 2004-9 (see below). Subsections 2.1-2.4 summarize unique features of each model and describe the emissions.

83 Monthly chemistry diagnostics from each model enable us to calculate  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , defined as the

total atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> burden divided by its loss through reactions with tropospheric OH. All 3

85 models use fixed methane abundances (1760 ppb for UCI CTM and CTM3, 1775 ppb for GEOS-

- 86 Chem), so variations in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  are due solely to changes in the OH sink. Different tropopause
- 87 definitions in the models have minimal effect on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  since CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation between 200 hPa
- and the tropopause is 1.5% of tropospheric methane loss, or less. We calculate the total methane
- lifetime,  $\tau_{CH4}$ , using  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  values from this work and recently estimated lifetimes for other

90 methane sinks: tropospheric chlorine (200 y), stratosphere (120 y), and soil (150 y) (Prather et al.,
91 2012).

#### 92 **2.1 Oslo CTM3**

- 93 Oslo CTM3 is a stratospheric and tropospheric CTM, recently described by Søvde et al. (2012).
- 94 Transport is driven by pieced-forecast meteorology from the European Center for Medium-range

95 Weather Forecasting (ECMWF) Integrated Forecast System (cycle 36r1,

- 96 <u>http://www.ecmwf.int/research/ifsdocs/CY36r1/index.html</u>). The original T359 (~0.55°×0.55°)
- 97 horizontal resolution and 60 layer vertical resolution of the forecast model is degraded to T42
- 98  $(\sim 2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ})$  resolution, while preserving the 3 h temporal resolution for all meteorological fields.
- Advection uses the second-order moments scheme (Prather, 1986; Prather et al., 2008) and
- 100 convection follows Tiedtke (1989).

101 The CTM3 chemical mechanism includes a full stratospheric chemical mechanism in addition to

102 tropospheric reactions. The tropospheric module contains 105 reactions and 51 gas-phase species,

103 including sulfate, nitrate, and sea-salt aerosols. Nitrate aerosols affect the gas-phase chemistry

104 through HNO<sub>3</sub> uptake, which is a sink for reactive nitrogen through subsequent wet scavenging.

105 Photolysis rates required in the chemistry mechanism are calculated online using the Fast-JX

106 method (Neu et al., 2007), with cloud distributions from ECMWF meteorology. CTM3 shares the

107 same chemical mechanism and some other physical process algorithms with the older CTM2,

- 108 which has been extensively used for studies of present and future tropospheric composition
- 109 (Dalsoren et al., 2010; Hoor et al., 2009; Isaksen et al., 2005).

#### 110 **2.2 UCI CTM**

111 The UCI CTM is a tropospheric CTM, using the same meteorology, transport algorithms, and

112 Fast-JX photolysis as CTM3. Like CTM3, the UCI CTM uses T42 horizontal resolution, but the

113 vertical resolution in the boundary layer is reduced, so there are 57 layers total. Tropospheric

114 chemistry of the major gas-phase species involved in HOx, NOx, O<sub>3</sub>, and VOC reactions is

- simulated with the ASAD package (Carver et al., 1997), with updates to the mechanism and
- 116 kinetics (Tang and Prather, 2010). This mechanism includes 84 reactions involving 33 species,
- 117 making it simpler than the CTM3 chemical mechanism. Simplified stratospheric O<sub>3</sub> chemistry is
- simulated with Linoz (version 2 Hsu and Prather, 2009). Aerosol effects on photolysis and
- 119 chemistry are neglected, which increases OH and biases  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  high by about 10% (Bian et al.,
- 120 2003; Macintyre and Evans, 2010; Martin et al., 2003).

#### 121 **2.3 GEOS-Chem**

- 122 GEOS-Chem is a tropospheric CTM, driven by assimilated meteorological data from the NASA
- 123 Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-5) or MERRA reanalysis (Rienecker et al., 2011;
- 124 2008). Both GEOS-5 and MERRA are produced from closely related assimilation systems, using
- 125 the same spatial resolution of  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.66^{\circ}$  and 72 vertical layers. Most GEOS-Chem results here,
- 126 including all sensitivity simulations, are based on GEOS-5 meteorology, which has been
- 127 degraded to 2°×2.5° and 47 layers for the CTM. GEOS-5 data are available only after 2004,
- however, so we also simulate 1997-2009 using MERRA meteorology at  $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  and 47 layers.
- 129 Temporal resolution in GEOS-5 (MERRA) is 6 h (3 h) for most meteorological quantities and 3 h
- 130 (1 h) for surface quantities and mixing depth.
- 131 The tropospheric chemistry mechanism in GEOS-Chem consists of 104 species and 236 chemical
- 132 reactions that simulate aerosols in addition to the HOx-NOx-VOC-ozone system, and has recently
- 133 been updated by Mao et al. (2010). Photolysis rates are calculated with the Fast-JX method, using
- aerosol optical depths that are simulated internally, and ozone columns from the TOMS and
- 135 SBUV satellites (until 2008) or GEOS-5 assimilation of satellite data (after 2008). For purposes
- 136 of stratosphere-troposphere exchange, stratospheric ozone is simulated with Linoz.

#### 137 **2.4 Emissions**

Emissions used in this work are representative of 1997-2010, but do not resolve trends or interannual variability in anthropogenic or biogenic emissions. To the extent possible, we use identical emissions across all models. Anthropogenic, biogenic, and biomass burning emissions of NOx, CO, and isoprene are fully consistent in all models. Some differences in VOC emissions arise because of the different lumping schemes used in the various chemical mechanisms and because some VOC species are not simulated in all models. Lightning NOx emissions also differ between models because they are calculated from underlying meteorology, as described below.

145 Table 1 summarizes emissions of key species. We use the RCP inventory for anthropogenic 146 emissions for year 2000, repeating in each simulated year (Lamarque et al., 2011). This inventory 147 provides monthly gridded emissions for NOx, CO and speciated VOCs from 11 emission 148 activities. Aviation and shipping emissions change each month, while other anthropogenic 149 emission activities are constant throughout the year. Biomass burning emissions are specified for 150 each year and month by the GFED inventory (version 3 van der Werf et al., 2010). We use this 151 instead of the climatological biomass burning emissions provided in the RCP inventory because 152 fires are a major cause of year-to-year variability in tropospheric OH. Biogenic emissions of

- 153 isoprene, CO, and other VOCs are from a MEGAN climatology for the 2000s decade (Guenther
- 154 et al., 2006). GEOS-Chem includes additional oceanic emissions of acetone (13 Tg/a Jacob et al.,
- 155 2002) and acetaldehyde (57 Tg/a Millet et al., 2010), which are not included in other models. All
- emission data are provided at  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  resolution.
- 157 Lightning NOx emissions (L-NOx) are calculated with similar methods in all 3 CTMs, with UCI
- 158 CTM and CTM3 using identical algorithms. In all models, these emissions are derived from
- 159 cloud-top heights in the underlying meteorology (Price and Rind, 1994) and scaled to match
- 160 satellite-observed lightning flash rates (Christian et al., 2003). In the UCI CTM and CTM3, 2
- scale factors are calculated to match observed multi-year mean flash rates over land and ocean. In
- 162 GEOS-Chem scale factors are calculated for every grid column and month (Sauvage et al., 2007).
- 163 Within the convective column, L-NOx is distributed vertically based on observed NOx
- 164 distributions (Ott et al., 2010). Søvde et al. (2012) provide a full description of lightning
- 165 emissions in UCI CTM and CTM3, and Murray et al. (Murray et al., n.d.) do the same for GEOS-
- 166 Chem. L-NOx averages 6 Tg(N)  $a^{-1}$  in GEOS-Chem and 5 Tg(N)  $a^{-1}$  in UCI CTM and CTM3.

# 167 3 Recent (1997-2009) variability of CH<sub>4</sub> lifetime

- 168 Figure 1 shows  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  for 1997-2009, as simulated by the 3 CTMs. The tropospheric OH
- 169 lifetimes range from 8.5 to 10.1 years. The longest of these lifetimes (GEOS-Chem) is consistent
- 170 with the constraint provided by methyl chloroform observations,  $11.2 \pm 1.3$  y (Prather et al.,
- 171 2012), but all are within the range of contemporary tropospheric chemistry models (e.g.  $9.5 \pm 1.1$
- 172 y from ACCMIP Naik et al., n.d.).
- 173 These simulations show similar variability of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in all CTMs. Common features include a
- sharp dip in 1998 and peak in 2000, coincident with a strong El Niño and La Niña, smaller peaks
- in 2004 and 2008, and general decline after 2005. These features appear robust against the
- 176 various choices of chemical mechanism, meteorology, and resolution used in these CTMs. In
- 177 independent work, the ECHAM model also simulates the same features, using different emissions
- 178 and chemistry (Montzka et al., 2011).

#### **3.1 Methane lifetime sensitivity to chemistry-climate factors**

- 180 Having identified robust variations in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  across multiple CTMs, we examine their causes
- 181 with explicit perturbation tests. In these tests, we perturb a single climate or emission variable,
- 182 simulate 3 or more years, discard the first year as spinup, and analyze the difference from the
- 183 unperturbed simulation in the remaining years. Perturbations are applied to 1997-9 for Oslo

184 CTM3 and the UCI CTM, and to 2004-6 for GEOS-Chem with GEOS-5 meteorology. The

185 sensitivity,  $\alpha$ , of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  to a climate or emission variable, F, is always defined as  $\alpha = d$ 

186  $\ln(\tau_{CH4\times OH})/d \ln(F)$ . As such,  $\alpha$  can be interpreted as the percent change in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  resulting from a

187 1% increase in F.

188 Table 2 reports sensitivities for the evaluated climate and emission variables. These variables

189 include most of those identified in the literature as important influences on tropospheric OH and

190  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ : temperature, water vapor, ozone column, convective fluxes, cloud optical depth, biomass

191 burning emissions, and NOx emissions. Perturbation magnitudes are chosen to be similar to the

192 interannual variability or decadal trend of each variable (exact magnitudes in Table S1).

193 Only variables with large sensitivity, large interannual changes, or both can explain the year-to-

194 year  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variations identified in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the interannual changes of 5 key

195 variables for 1997-2009. Water vapor, having about 3% variation and  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  sensitivity near –

196 0.3, could account for about 1 % interannual variability in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . Temperature, ozone column,

197 L-NOx, and biomass burning also have sufficient sensitivity and variability to account for about 1

198 % variation in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  over the 1997-2009 period. These 5 climate and emission variables we

199 identify as important influences on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  have been recognized previously, but their

200 sensitivities have not been quantified in a comparable way (e.g. Dentener et al., 2003; Fiore et al.,

201 2006; Hess and Mahowald, 2009; Stevenson et al., 2005).

202 Convective fluxes and cloud optical depths for water and ice clouds, as diagnosed in ECMWF 203

meteorology, vary annually by 2 % and have small sensitivity, so these factors have very little

204 impact on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . Due to the small impact in the UCI CTM, these perturbation tests are not

205 repeated in the other CTMs. Our results are consistent with the known decrease in mass-weighted

206 global OH concentrations due to clouds (Voulgarakis et al., 2010) because mass-weighted

207 averaging emphasizes below-cloud OH concentrations and we find compensating increases in

208 methane loss above clouds. In addition, past analyses of convective fluxes have found both

209 positive and negative influences on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  depending on the convection scheme and perturbation

210 used (Lawrence and Salzmann, 2008).

211 Methane abundance and anthropogenic NOx emissions increased over the 2000-2010 decade by

212 by 1 % and 2 %, respectively, and vary smoothly between years (Dlugokencky et al., 2011;

213 Granier et al., 2011). Therefore, these factors have little impact on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variability during the

214 13-year CTM simulation, but are important on multi-decadal time scales and longer.

215 Many of the sensitivity terms in Table 2—specifically, water vapor, biomass burning, CH<sub>4</sub>

- abundance, and anthropogenic land NOx-are consistent among the CTMs and with past
- estimates (Fiore et al., 2008b; Hoor et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2011; Prather et al., 2001),
- suggesting a good understanding of how these variables impact tropospheric methane loss.
- 219 Adopted values for each sensitivity (Table 2, right column), which are used in the parametric
- 220 model described below, reflect the consistency among models. For biomass burning, the
- agreement between models masks large changes in sensitivity between years, shown in Figure 3
- 222 for the UCI CTM. The sensitivity is highly correlated with total biomass burning emissions, and
- the CO/NO ratio in those emissions, both of which suppress tropospheric OH (Duncan et al.,
- 224 2003; Voulgarakis et al., 2010) and peak during El Niño years due to tropical peat fires. Future
- climate may be more El Niño-like due to GHG warming (Yamaguchi and Noda, 2006), so,
- despite the CTM consensus on present-day biomass burning sensitivity, we adopt a broad
- 227 uncertainty range for future sensitivity.
- 228 Other sensitivities, chiefly air temperature and ship NOx, differ by 50% or more across the
- 229 models. These differences are understandable, however, as consequences of modeling
- assumptions. For ship NOx, CTM3 and UCI CTM are nearly 3 times more sensitive than GEOS-
- 231 Chem. In the UCI CTM and CTM3, ship NOx is emitted as NO, diluted into the grid volume, and
- the subsequent production of  $O_3$  and  $HNO_3$  are calculated by the grid-resolved chemistry.
- 233 Instantaneous dilution overestimates the NOx lifetime and O<sub>3</sub> production from ships (Chen et al.,
- 234 2005), however. To compensate, GEOS-Chem instantaneously converts all ship NOx emissions
- to O<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>, following observed production ratios. As a result, GEOS-Chem underestimates
- the large-scale impact of shipping, since, in reality, 20-50% of NOx remains after 5 hours
- following emission (Vinken et al., 2011). Although previous estimates of ship NOx are close to
- the high values in this work (Hoor et al., 2009; Myhre et al., 2011), the actual atmospheric
- sensitivity to ship NOx, likely lies somewhere between the GEOS-Chem and UCI CTM results.
- 240 Ship NOx emissions also explain the divergence of GEOS-Chem and the UCI CTM in their
- 241 temperature sensitivities. Over land, both models predict similar reduction  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in response to
- 242 warming. Over the oceans, however, GEOS-Chem predicts longer  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  at higher temperatures
- 243 while the UCI CTM predicts the opposite. In the presence of ship NOx in the UCI CTM, higher
- temperatures increase both the production and loss of O<sub>3</sub>, with net excess production; OH rises in
- 245 turn. In GEOS-Chem, by contrast, higher temperatures increase O<sub>3</sub> destruction over the ocean
- with less opportunity for enhanced production; OH thus decreases over oceans.

- 247 The sensitivity of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  to methane abundance is closely related to the methane feedback factor,
- *f*, which is the ratio of methane perturbation lifetime to total budget lifetime (Prather et al., 2001).
- Our multi-model mean sensitivity,  $0.31 \pm 0.04$ , is similar to past estimates (Fiore et al., 2009;
- Prather et al., 2001), but we derive a smaller feedback factor  $f = 1.34 \pm 0.06$  than has been
- recommended by IPCC (f = 1.4 Prather et al., 2001) because we use updated estimates of
- 252 methane lifetime (Prather et al., 2012). Reducing the feedback factor, which was already
- suggested by Fiore et al. (2009), lowers the methane radiative forcing and global warming
- 254 potential, as discussed in section 3.5.

#### **3.2 A parametric model for** $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$

The sensitivity parameters in Table 2, together with the time series of corresponding climate and emission variables in Figure 2, enable us to build a parametric model for methane lifetime representing each CTM. We combine terms linearly, so that  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  is approximated by

259 
$$\ln(\tau_{\text{CH4}\times\text{OH}}(t)) = \ln(\langle \tau_{\text{CH4}\times\text{OH}} \rangle) + \sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \Delta \ln(F_{i}(t)), \qquad (1)$$

- 260 where  $F_i(t)$  is the time series of forcing variable *i* and  $\langle \tau_{CH4\times OH} \rangle$  is the mean lifetime in the CTM.
- 261 Figure 1 shows the parametric model reconstruction of each CTM, alongside the actual calculated
- 262  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . We find that 5 variables—temperature, water vapor, column ozone, biomass burning
- 263 emissions, and L-NOx emissions—explain 90% of the interannual variation in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in the UCI
- 264 CTM and GEOS-Chem over the simulated period 1997-2009. Even though the GEOS-Chem
- 265 sensitivity parameters were derived from  $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$  simulations driven by GEOS-5, the 5-parameter
- model performs equally well compared to the  $4^{\circ} \times 5^{\circ}$  GEOS-Chem simulation driven by MERRA.
- 267 The sensitivity parameters are thus robust across changes in model resolution and meteorology.
- For Oslo CTM3 the 5-parameter model explains 50% of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variability overall, rising to 75%
- 269 outside the 1997-8 ENSO. A higher temperature sensitivity, similar to the UCI CTM, in the
- 270 parametric model also increases the explained variance for CTM3 to 80%.
- 271 The atmospheric chemistry of tropospheric OH and methane involves nonlinear chemistry that
- could, in principle, undermine the additivity of terms in Equation 1. We test the linearity of the
- system with a final perturbation test in the UCI CTM in which all 5 factors are perturbed
- 274 simultaneously. The resulting change in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  differs by about 1 part in 10 from the linear
- addition of factors.
- The CTM simulations in this work make several assumptions to simplify the perturbation analysis
- and enable comparisons between CTMs, but these could alter  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . In particular, the

- simulations neglect variability in biogenic VOC emissions (Guenther et al., 2006), trends in
- anthropogenic emissions and their location, and trends in atmospheric methane. We compare our
- 280 GEOS-Chem/MERRA simulation to one that includes all these processes, and other minor model
- updates, and find correlations of 98% for  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  (M. Mu, personal communication). Thus, the
- neglected processes make small to interannual variability of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  and do not degrade the
- 283 parametric model performance.

#### **3.3 Methyl chloroform comparison**

- 285 Two global measurement networks have recorded the growth and decline of atmospheric methyl
- chloroform (MCF) since the 1970s (ALE/GAGE/AGAGE Prinn et al., 2005), with expanded
- 287 coverage since the 1990s (NOAA Montzka et al., 2011). Like methane, atmospheric MCF is
- 288 oxidized mainly by tropospheric OH, with small additional sinks in the stratosphere, oceans, and
- soil (Volk et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2008; Wennberg et al., 2004). Because MCF has no natural
- sources and the anthropogenic production is well known (McCulloch et al., 1999), MCF provides
- the best available constraint on global OH levels and methane lifetime. The analysis here uses
- 292 observations since 1998, when anthropogenic MCF emissions became small compared to
- atmospheric oxidation of the residual atmospheric burden. Consequently, MCF atmospheric
- 294 lifetimes can be inferred from observed decay rates without detailed accounting for emissions and

- 296 For each network, we calculate decay rates of MCF from monthly average concentrations
- 297 provided by each network (NOAA:
- 298 <u>ftp://ftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/hats/solvents/CH3CCl3/flasks/GCMS/CH3CCl3\_MS2011a.txt</u>, accessed
- Jan 5, 2012; AGAGE: http://agage.eas.gatech.edu/data\_archive/agage/gc-md/monthly/, accessed
- 300 April 4, 2012). For site *i* and month *t* the observed decay rate  $(a^{-1})$  is

301 
$$k_{i,t} = -\ln(c_{i,(t+6)}/c_{i,(t-6)}),$$
 (2)

- where  $c_{i,t}$  is the concentration at site *i* in month *t*. The global MCF decay rate is the average of  $k_{i,t}$ across sites within a network. We calculate uncertainty in the global decay rate as the 16<sup>th</sup>-84<sup>th</sup>
- 304 percentile range (i.e.  $\pm 1 \sigma$ ) of  $k_{it}$  across sites within a network. No filling is used for months with
- 305 missing data. (See Supplement for additional method details.) Over 1998-2007, the global MCF
- decay rates from the 2 networks differ by less than 1% (0.1811 a<sup>-1</sup> for NOAA, 0.1796 a<sup>-1</sup> for
- 307 AGAGE). This analysis, however, focuses on anomalies in the global decay rate, relative to each
- 308 network's own mean. Because the anomalies are attributed solely to tropospheric OH loss (see

below) and for comparison to  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , to the decay anomalies are divided by r = 0.87 to account for the tropospheric OH fraction of total MCF loss (Prather et al., 2012).

311 Figure 4 compares the interannual variability of simulated  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in the CTMs against the MCF 312 decay rate. While the CTMs are consistently within the observational uncertainty for both 313 observation networks, the year-to-year changes in the models generally do not correlate with the 314 MCF data. In addition, simulated  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in all CTMs varies less than the MCF constraint (1 % 315 vs. 2.3% for  $\sigma$ /mean). Residual anthropogenic or ocean emissions could account for some MCF 316 decay rate anomalies, but only if these emissions change abruptly from year to year. Emission 317 anomalies of about 4 Gg a<sup>-1</sup> would be required to cause the observed decay rate swings during 318 2002-4. Meanwhile, total anthropogenic and ocean emissions for those years are estimated to be 6 and 4 Gg a<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and decreasing smoothly (Montzka et al., 2011; Prinn et al., 2005; 319 Wennberg et al., 2004). Therefore, abrupt emission changes might explain part, perhaps half, of 320 321 the decay anomalies, but cannot account for the full discrepancy between simulated  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  and

322 observations.

323 Collocated measurement sites in the NOAA and AGAGE networks provide an alternative means 324 to evaluate possible errors in decay rates. At all 4 collocated sites (Cape Grim, American Samoa, 325 Trinidad Head, and Mace Head) we find differences between the networks as large as 2% in the 326 monthly means. (See Figure S2.) The differences exceed the standard error in monthly mean and 327 persist for several consecutive months; thus they are likely not caused by synoptic variability and 328 differences in sampling frequency. Because the biases change over time, they lead to differences 329 of up to 4% in MCF decay rates at a single site. As can be seen in Figure 2, both networks find 330 similar magnitude of OH variability, but they differ in sign and magnitude of the anomaly at 331 many times. Given that differences in observed MCF decay rates between the two networks are as 332 large as their difference from CTM  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  anomalies, we conclude that better understanding of 333 the systematic differences between the observation networks is required before using them as a 334 constraint on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  and OH interannual variability.

#### **335 3.4 Methane global warming potential**

Global Warming Potentials (GWP) are useful for comparing the radiative forcing (RF) caused by
emissions of various GHGs having different absorbances and atmospheric lifetimes. The methane
GWP customarily accounts for the direct RF from the emitted gas, as well as indirect RF caused
by methane-induced increases in ozone, stratospheric water vapor, and feedback on the methane
lifetime (Forster et al., 2007). Here we evaluate the methane GWP implied by the perturbation

- 341 experiments. Radiative forcing of methane and ozone are calculated for the control simulation
- 342 and a simulation with 5% more methane, using the University of Oslo radiative transfer model
- 343 (Myhre et al., 2011). In addition, we test the effect of methane-induced water vapor on
- 344 stratospheric ozone, with an additional Oslo CTM3 simulation in which stratospheric water vapor
- 345 was increased to maintain equilibrium with the CH<sub>4</sub> perturbation. To our knowledge, this indirect,
- 346 H<sub>2</sub>O-mediated effect on ozone has not been included in prior assessments of methane GWP.
- 347 Table 5 summarizes ozone changes and RF results for all simulations, normalized to 1 ppb CH<sub>4</sub>
- 348 perturbations. Tropospheric ozone changes in GEOS-Chem and the UCI CTM (2.9 and 4.0 DU
- $ppm(CH_4)^{-1}$ , respectively) are within the range of previous multi-model studies (Fry et al., 2012;
- Holmes et al., 2011). Oslo CTM3, however, exhibits larger tropospheric changes (5.0 DU
- $ppm(CH_4)^{-1}$ , likely due to the effects of stratospheric chemistry on the upper troposphere.
- 352 Stratospheric ozone changes  $(10.3 \text{ DU ppm}(\text{CH}_4)^{-1})$  are twice as large as the tropospheric
- 353 changes, but still small compared to the total stratospheric column. We find that stratospheric
- 354 water vapor produced by oxidation of methane causes small decreases in stratospheric ozone (-

355 4.3 DU  $ppm(CH_4)^{-1}$ ).

- 356 Ozone generally has greater radiative forcing efficiency in the troposphere than in the
- 357 stratosphere (Forster and Shine, 1997), so tropospheric ozone changes tend to dominate the ozone
- 358 RF components. In our 3 models, tropospheric ozone RF is 30-50% of the direct methane RF, and
- up to 65% after including stratospheric ozone mediated by methane and water. Previous IPCC
- assessments have assumed 25% for purposes of calculating GWP (Forster et al., 2007; Shine et
- al., 1995), similar to a recent estimate of 21% based on tropospheric changes alone (Fry et al.,
- 362 2012). Methane perturbation data from the TAR (3.67  $DU(O_3) ppm(CH_4)^{-1}$ ) (Prather et al., 2001),
- 363 however, suggest that tropospheric ozone RF is about 40% of the methane RF (154 mW m<sup>-2</sup>
- 364  $ppm(CH_4)^{-1}$ , assuming efficiency of 42 mW m<sup>-2</sup> DU(O<sub>3</sub>)<sup>-1</sup>) (Ramaswamy et al., 2001).
- 365 Accounting for both direct and indirect effects, the methane RF efficiency,  $F_{e}$ , is 618 mW m<sup>-2</sup>
- 366 ppm(CH<sub>4</sub>)<sup>-1</sup> in steady-state. A 1 Tg pulse emission of methane raises the atmospheric abundance
- 367 by  $\delta = 0.364$  ppb, which decays at a rate  $f \tau_{CH4}$ , where f = 1.33 is the methane feedback on its
- 368 lifetime. We use  $\tau_{CH4} = 9.14$  y (Prather et al., 2012). Neglecting delays between emission time and
- 369 stratospheric impacts, the 100-y absolute GWP is  $\delta f \tau_{CH4} F_e = 2.75 \text{ mW a m}^{-2}$ , compared to 0.087
- $mW a m^{-2}$  for CO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the methane GWP<sub>100</sub> is 31.6. Our result is higher than several previous
- 371 reports, generally near 25 (Forster et al., 2007; Fry et al., 2012), mainly because we include
- 372 stratospheric ozone effects, but also because the updated and longer methane lifetime used here

- 373 (Prather et al., 2012). IPCC TAR recommended f = 1.4 (Prather et al., 2001), which would imply
- an even larger GWP, but since f depends on  $\tau_{CH4}$  the two must be chosen consistently. Uncertainty
- in the GWP is difficult to assess without further modeling and analysis of stratospheric impacts.
- but it is likely  $\pm 20\%$  or larger.

# 4 Historical (1980-2005) changes in CH<sub>4</sub> lifetime

Having established the ability of Equation 1 to reconstruct  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  over 1997-2009 in CTMs, we now use it to extrapolate methane lifetime over several decades for which the CTMs have not been run. We begin with the historical period 1980-2005, during which time the key atmospheric forcing variables have been relatively well observed by satellites and ground stations.

382 In addition to the 5 climate and emission variables identified in Section 3.2 as important

383 influences on interannual variability, we include CH<sub>4</sub> abundance and anthropogenic NOx

384 emissions from land, ships, and aircraft for the historical reconstruction. We also include

385 sensitivity to anthropogenic CO and VOC emissions, based on the IPCC TAR parameters, but

386 without uncertainties (Prather et al., 2001). In total, the expanded parametric model includes 11

parameters and variables. For the sensitivity parameters,  $\alpha_i$  in Equation 1, we adopt values from

the average and spread of sensitivities in the 3 CTMs (Table 2, last column).

389 Table 3 summarizes the data sources for historical climate and emission variables in the expanded

390 parametric model. NASA MERRA reanalysis provides temperature and water vapor data

391 (Bosilovich et al., 2011) and satellite observations provide ozone column data (Stolarski and

392 Frith, 2006). As with the 5-parameter model, these are averaged over the latitudes, 40°S to 40°N,

that are important for CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation. Historical CH<sub>4</sub> abundance and anthopogenic and biomass

burning emissions follow CMIP5 recommendations (Lamarque et al., 2010; Meinshausen et al.,

395 2011b). Global annual lightning flash rates have varied by up to 20% since 1998, but multi-

decadal trends are not apparent (Murray et al., n.d.), so we assume no change since 1980, with

397 10% Gaussian uncertainty in the trend.

398 Figure 5 shows the historical changes in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  reconstructed from Equation 1, together with the

399 contribution from each of the climate and emission variables. To account for uncertainties in

400 parameters and the lightning forcing, we generate  $10^5$  monte carlo realizations of Equation 1,

401 allowing all parameters to vary independently. The resulting uncertainty in the  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ 

402 reconstruction, measured as standard deviation across the realizations, reflects uncertainty in the

- 403 parameters,  $\alpha_i$ , but not uncertainty in emissions, ozone observation, or meteorological
- 404 assimilation. (See Figure S6 for uncertainties in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in each component.)

405 Our reconstruction has annual variability of 1-2 % in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  over the 1980-2005 period. 406 Reductions in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  occur during El Niño years—1982-3, 1987-8, and 1997-8—driven mainly 407 by water vapor and reinforced by a smaller effect from temperature. Stratospheric ozone changes, 408 forced by the solar cycle and Mt. Pinatubo, depresses  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  through much of the 1990s. The 409 largest spikes in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  occur when the solar cycle maximum and La Niña are synchronous, as in 410 1989 and 1999-2000. Overall, the parametric model simulates a decrease in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  since 1980, 411 which has also been found in numerous CTM and GCM studies (Dentener et al., 2003; Duncan et 412 al., 2000; Hess and Mahowald, 2009; Karlsdottir and Isaksen, 2000; Naik et al., n.d.; Stevenson et 413 al., 2005). This is an improvement over previous parametric approaches, which are shown in 414 Figure 5, that produce zero or positive trends over the same period (Meinshausen et al., 2011a; 415 Prather et al., 2001).

416 Figure 6 identifies the contribution of each variable to the total change in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . Rising

417 atmospheric methane has the largest influence on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , but the positive methane feedback

418 effect (4%) is more than compensated by negative climate and emission terms. Temperature and

419 water vapor, which have increased due to GHGs, decrease  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  by 2%, collectively, although

420 the water vapor effect is about 3 times larger. Halogen-driven decreases in stratospheric ozone

421 also shortened the lifetime about 1%. Increases in ship and land anthropogenic NOx emissions

422 both decrease  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  by 1.5%, despite the ship source have much smaller total magnitude.

423 Lightning NOx could also have an important impact on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , but the lightning trends are not 424 known.

425 The total  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  change from 1980-5 to 2000-5 is 2.3 ± 1.8 % in our model, or 0.13 % a<sup>-1</sup> from a

426 linear fit. Dentener et al. (2003), simulated a larger decrease,  $-0.2 \% a^{-1}$ , in the 1980s that they

427 attributed mainly to water vapor. Meteorological inputs may contribute to the difference, since

428 water vapor trends are known to vary amongst reanalysis products (Trenberth et al., 2011). In

429 addition, the shift of anthropogenic emissions to SE Asia, which alters the sensitivity of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  to

430 emissions is not treated in the parametric model (e.g. Fuglestvedt et al., 1999; Karlsdottir and

431 Isaksen, 2000). Methyl chloroform analyses generally suggest large decreases in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  during

the 1980s followed by increases during the 1990s, which conflicts with the CTM results

433 (Bousquet et al., 2005; Prinn et al., 2005). Assuming uncertainty of about 20% in methyl

chloroform emissions, however, reconciles the observations with the small trends found in CTMsand in our parametric model (Krol and Lelieveld, 2003; Prinn et al., 2005).

# 436 **5** Future (2010-2100) CH<sub>4</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> lifetime

437 We now apply the parametric model to predict methane and methane lifetime, with their 438 uncertainties, following a future socioeconomic scenario. We make predictions for RCP 8.5 439 (Riahi et al., 2007), a scenario with rapid climate warming, but these methods apply to other 440 scenarios as well. The prediction begins with the best estimate of present-day (2010) methane 441 budget, including natural and anthropogenic emissions, and lifetimes for all loss processes, using 442 the method of Prather et al. (2012). The scenario specifies future anthropogenic methane 443 emissions and we assume natural emissions could change  $\pm 20\%$  (1 $\sigma$ ) by 2100. We use the 444 parametric model to predict future  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  and adopt other loss rates from literature (Prather et al., 445 2012). For future predictions we use the same expanded set of 11 parameters as were used in the 446 historical  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  reconstruction (Table 2, last column). Table 3 lists data sources for the future 447 climate and emission variables.

448 Table 4 summarizes the predicted changes in climate and emissions in RCP 8.5. In this scenario 449 most anthropogenic emissions of ozone precursors decrease by 2100 (7-75%), although aircraft 450 NOx emissions rise 123%. Biomass burning emissions, also specified by the scenario, decrease 451 35%. The parametric prediction requires tropospheric temperature and water vapor inputs 452 consistent with the scenario, but averages over the relevant latitudes  $(40^{\circ}S-40^{\circ}N)$  and altitudes 453 (surface to 400 hPa) are not readily available, so we calculate them from sea-surface temperature 454 (SST) in CMIP5 models that have simulated RCP 8.5 (Climate Explorer, http://climexp.knmi.nl/, 455 accessed July 18, 2012). Regressions between annual-mean SST and both temperature and water 456 vapor are derived from reanalysis data since 1979, and these relations are used to predict future 457 temperature and water vapor from the simulated SST. Uncertainties are propagated from the SST 458 range in CMIP5 ensemble and from present-day regression fitting errors (See Table 4 footnotes 459 and Supplement for details). In 2100, we calculate tropospheric temperature and water vapor to 460 be  $3.7 \pm 0.9$  K and  $38.2 \pm 8.9$  % larger than 2010, respectively. For tropical stratospheric ozone, 461 multiple models predict recovery to 1980 levels around 2045 due to the decrease of long-lived halogenated gases (Austin and Scinocca, 2010; Eyring et al., 2010a; Newman et al., 2007), 462 463 followed by GHG-driven decreases through 2100 (Eyring et al., 2010a). We adopt this projection, 464 adding uncertainty that grows to 3% of the total column in 2100. Lightning NOx emissions have been estimated in past work to grow 5–50% by the late 21<sup>st</sup> century (Wu et al., 2008), but these 465

466 predictions are highly speculative due to poor mechanistic understanding of present-day global

467 flash rates. GHG-driven climate warming tends to reduce convection (Held and Soden, 2006), but

468 may intensify convection in some regions (Del Genio et al., 2007), so the total effect on lightning

is unclear. In this work we assume 10% increase by 2100, but allow broad Gaussian uncertainty

470 of 20%. As in our earlier work, we account for uncertainties in parametric terms, climate

471 variables, and the present-day budget with  $10^5$  monte carlo realizations of future methane in RCP

472 8.5 (Prather et al., 2012).

473 Figure 6 shows future methane and its uncertainty through 2100. Projected abundances reach

474  $3950 \pm 320$  ppb in 2100, which is about 500 ppb lower than our previous work (Prather et al.,

475 2012), which did not account for emissions and climate controls on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . MAGICC predicts

476 lower concentrations, 3750 ppb, due mainly to the strong negative sensitivity of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  to

temperature in that model, but the MAGICC values lie within our estimated uncertainties

throughout the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Statistical uncertainties in methane predictions are 8% in 2100, based

479 on the assessed processes in the parametric model. Neglected processes—including shifting

480 emission locations, biogenic VOC emissions, stratosphere-troposphere exchange, and aerosol

481 interactions with photolysis and chemistry-might cause additional systematic prediction errors,

482 but we have found that these have minor impact on present-day interannual  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variability.

483 The parametric model predicts  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  will increase +13.3 ± 10.0 % by 2100 (Figure 6).

484 MAGICC gives similar results (+12.6 %), but the IPCC TAR formula yields a larger result (+29.6

485 %), consistent with their respective historical performances in Section 4. The ACCMIP model

486 ensemble predicts  $+6.2 \pm 10.2$  % for RCP 8.5 (Voulgarakis et al., n.d.), which demonstrates that

487 the simple parametric approach covers much of the range suggested by computationally intensive

488 GCM ensemble integrations. Lightning NOx emissions likely explain most of the  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ 

489 difference, since ACCMIP models calculate 24 % increase in 2100 (Voulgarakis et al., n.d.).

490 Although we do not think future lightning estimates from GCMs are robust (see above), assuming

491 an equally large change in the parametric model would lower  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in 2100 by more than 4%,

492 after accounting for methane feedback.

493 Figure 7 and Table 4 decompose the net  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  changes in 2050 into components due to each

494 climate and emission variable. Uncertainties here include possible errors in both the sensitivity

and forcing variable, except for the emission terms where all uncertainty comes from the

- 496 sensitivity parameter. Methane feedback is the largest influence, having an individual
- 497 contribution of +29.0  $\pm$  7.3 %. NOx emission reductions over land also force  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  upwards

- 498 (+12.8  $\pm$  0.9%), which is opposite to NOx influence in recent decades. Other climate and
- 499 emission components are zero or negative, with water vapor having the largest effect  $(-11.5 \pm$
- 500 2.8 %). Stratospheric ozone and lightning NOx contribute little to  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  changes, but they make
- a significant contribution to the uncertainty.

# 502 6 Conclusions

- 503 Over 1997-2009, the 3 CTMs in this work exhibit common variability in methane lifetime, which 504 is also shared by other published model studies. We quantitatively explain these features with 5 505 climate and emission variables-temperature, water vapor, ozone column, biomass burning 506 emissions, lightning NOx emissions. A parametric model built on these 5 factors reproduces 50-507 90% of the variability in methane lifetime during 1997-2009. The ensemble of 3 models provides 508 a measure of uncertainty in each parametric factor, which we use to project past and future 509 methane and its lifetime, with uncertainties. While this approach lacks the full complexity of 510 atmospheric chemistry that can be included through multi-decadal simulations of a CTM or 511 GCM, the advantage is that it can be rapidly applied to many climate data sets or socioeconomic
- 512 scenarios. Using the parametric model to reconstruct methane lifetime for 1980-2005, we
- 513 estimate 2.3±1.8% decrease in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ , which is the same direction of change as previous CTM
- 514 studies but smaller magnitude. For the RCP 8.5 future scenario, methane abundances are larger
- than the CMIP5 recommendations, which are based on the MAGICC model, but the uncertainty
- 516 encompasses the difference. Uncertainty in 2100 abundance is 10% based on the processes we
- 517 have assessed here. Water vapor, anthropogenic NOx emissions, and methane feedback on its OH
- 518 sink are the major drivers of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in both the historical and future simulations.
- 519 We also provide a new estimate of the indirect components of methane RF. Tropospheric ozone
- 520 contributes 30-50% of the direct methane RF, compared to 25% that has been used in previous
- 521 IPCC assessments (Forster et al., 2007). After including stratospheric chemistry effects, including
- 522 those mediated by water vapor, we estimate the methane-induced ozone RF to be 50% of the
- direct methane RF. Based on these data, the 100-year methane GWP is 32.

### 524 Acknowledgments

- 525 We thank Mingquan Mu (UC Irvine) for providing additional GEOS-Chem simulations that we
- 526 compare to our results. This research was supported by the NASA Modeling, Analysis, and
- 527 Prediction Program (NNX09AJ47G), the Office of Science (BER) of the U.S. Department of
- 528 Energy (DE-SC0007021), and the Kavli Chair in Earth System Science.

# 529 **References**

- 530 Austin, J. and Scinocca, J.: Long-term projections of stratospheric ozone, in SPARC Report on
- the Evaluation of Chemistry-Climate Models, vol. SPARC Report No. 5, WCRP-132, edited by
- 532 V. Eyring, T. G. Shepherd, and D. W. Waugh, WMO. 2010.
- Bian, H., Prather, M. and Takemura, T.: Tropospheric aerosol impacts on trace gas budgets
  through photolysis, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108(D8), 4242, doi:10.1029/2002JD002743, 2003.
- Bosilovich, M. G., Robertson, F. R. and Chen, J.: Global Energy and Water Budgets in MERRA,
  J Climate, 24(22), 5721–5739, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4175.1, 2011.
- Bousquet, P., Hauglustaine, D., Peylin, P., Carouge, C. and Ciais, P.: Two decades of OH
  variability as inferred by an inversion of atmospheric transport and chemistry of methyl
- chloroform, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 5, 2635–2656, 2005.
- 540 Carver, G., Brown, P. and Wild, O.: The ASAD atmospheric chemistry integration package and 541 chemical reaction database, Comput Phys Commun, 105, 197–215, 1997.
- 542 Chen, G., Huey, L., Trainer, M., Nicks, D., Corbett, J., Ryerson, T., Parrish, D., Neuman, J.,
- 543 Nowak, J., Tanner, D., Holloway, J., et al.: An investigation of the chemistry of ship emission
- 544 plumes during ITCT 2002, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 110, –, doi:10.1029/2004JD005236, 2005.
- Christian, H., Blakeslee, R., Boccippio, D., Boeck, W., Buechler, D., Driscoll, K., Goodman, S.,
  Hall, J., Koshak, W., Mach, D. and Stewart, M.: Global frequency and distribution of lightning as
  observed from space by the Optical Transient Detector, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108, –,
  doi:10.1029/2002JD002347, 2003.
- 549 Dalsoren, S. B., Eide, M. S., Myhre, G., Endresen, O., Isaksen, I. S. A. and Fuglestvedt, J. S.:
- 550 Impacts of the Large Increase in International Ship Traffic 2000-2007 on Tropospheric Ozone 551 and Methane, Environ Sci Technol, 44(7), 2482–2489, doi:10.1021/es902628e, 2010.
- 552 Del Genio, A. D., Yao, M.-S. and Jonas, J.: Will moist convection be stronger in a warmer 553 climate? Geophys Res Lett, 34(16), L16703, doi:10.1029/2007GL030525, 2007.
- Dentener, F., Peters, W., Krol, M., van Weele, M., Bergamaschi, P. and Lelieveld, J.: Interannual
  variability and trend of CH4 lifetime as a measure for OH changes in the 1979-1993 time period,
  J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108(D15), 4442, doi:10.1029/2002JD002916, 2003.
- 557 Dlugokencky, E. J., Nisbet, E. G., Fisher, R. and Lowry, D.: Global atmospheric methane:
- budget, changes and dangers, Philos T R Soc A, 369(1943), 2058–2072,
- 559 doi:10.1098/rsta.2010.0341, 2011.
- Duncan, B. N., Bey, I., Chin, M., Mickley, L. J., Fairlie, T. D., Martin, R. V. and Matsueda, H.:
  Indonesian wildfires of 1997: Impact on tropospheric chemistry, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108,
  4458, doi:10.1029/2002JD003195, 2003.
- 563 Duncan, B., Portman, D., Bey, I. and Spivakovsky, C.: Parameterization of OH for efficient 564 computation in chemical tracer models, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 105, 12259–12262, 2000.
- 565 Eyring, V., Cionni, I., Lamarque, J. F., Akiyoshi, H., Bodeker, G. E., Charlton-Perez, A. J., Frith,

- 566 S. M., Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Nakamura, T., Oman, L. D., et al.: Sensitivity of 21st
- 567 century stratospheric ozone to greenhouse gas scenarios, Geophys Res Lett, 37, L16807,
  568 doi:10.1029/2010GL044443, 2010a.
- 569 Eyring, V., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berntsen, T., Collins, W. J., Corbett, J. J., Endresen, O., Grainger, R.
- G., Moldanova, J., Schlager, H. and Stevenson, D. S.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and
  climate: Shipping, Atmos Environ, 44(37), 4735–4771, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.059,
  2010b.
- 573 Fiore, A. M., Dentener, F. J., Wild, O., Cuvelier, C., Schultz, M. G., Hess, P., Textor, C., Schulz,
- 574 M., Doherty, R. M., Horowitz, L. W., MacKenzie, I. A., et al.: Multimodel estimates of
- intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 114, -,
   doi:10.1029/2008JD010816, 2009.
- Fiore, A. M., Horowitz, L. W., Dlugokencky, E. J. and West, J. J.: Impact of meteorology and
  emissions on methane trends, 1990-2004, Geophys Res Lett, vol.33, no.12, 4 pp.,
  doi:10.1029/2006GL026199, 2006.
- 580 Fiore, A. M., West, J. J., Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V. and Schwarzkopf, M. D.: Characterizing the
- 581 tropospheric ozone response to methane emission controls and the benefits to climate and air
- quality, Journal of Geophysical Research Part D Atmospheres, D08307 (16 pp.),
- 583 doi:10.1029/2007JD009162, 2008a.
- Fiore, A. M., West, J. J., Horowitz, L. W., Naik, V. and Schwarzkopf, M. D.: Characterizing the
  tropospheric ozone response to methane emission controls and the benefits to climate and air
  quality, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113(D8), D08307, doi:10.1029/2007JD009162, 2008b.
- 587 Forster, P. and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing and temperature trends from stratospheric ozone 588 changes, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 102, 10841–10855, 1997.
- 589 Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J.,
- 590 Lean, J., Lowe, D., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., et al.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in
- 591 Radiative Forcing, in Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of
- 592Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 593 Change, edited by S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. Averyt, M. Tignor,
- and H. Miller, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 2007.
- 595 Fry, M. M., Naik, V., West, J. J., Schwarzkopf, M. D., Fiore, A. M., Collins, W. J., Dentener, F.
- J., Shindell, D. T., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D., Duncan, B. N., et al.: The influence of ozone
- 597 precursor emissions from four world regions on tropospheric composition and radiative climate
- 598 forcing, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 117, –, doi:10.1029/2011JD017134, 2012.
- Fuglestvedt, J., Berntsen, T., Isaksen, I., Mao, H., Liang, X. and Wang, W.: Climatic forcing of
  nitrogen oxides through changes in tropospheric ozone and methane; global 3D model studies,
  Atmos Environ, 33(6), 961–977, 1999.
- Granier, C., Bessagnet, B., Bond, T., D'Angiola, A., van der Gon, H. D., Frost, G. J., Heil, A.,
- 603 Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Klimont, Z., Kloster, S., et al.: Evolution of anthropogenic and biomass
- burning emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales during the 1980-2010 period,
- 605 Climatic Change, 109, 163–190, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0154-1, 2011.

- 606 Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I. and Geron, C.: Estimates of
- 607 global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols
- from Nature), Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 6, 3181–3210, 2006.
- Held, I. M. and Soden, B. J.: Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming, J
  Climate, 19(21), 5686–5699, 2006.
- Hess, P. and Mahowald, N.: Interannual variability in hindcasts of atmospheric chemistry: the
- role of meteorology, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 9(14), 5261–5280, 2009.
- Holmes, C. D., Tang, Q. and Prather, M. J.: Uncertainties in climate assessment for the case of
  aviation NO, P Natl Acad Sci Usa, 108(27), 10997–11002, doi:10.1073/pnas.1101458108, 2011.
- Hoor, P., Borken-Kleefeld, J., Caro, D., Dessens, O., Endresen, O., Gauss, M., Grewe, V.,
- Hauglustaine, D., Isaksen, I. S. A., Jockel, P., Lelieveld, J., et al.: The impact of traffic emissions
- 617 on atmospheric ozone and OH: results from QUANTIFY, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics,
- 618 9(9), 3113–3136, 2009.
- Hsu, J. and Prather, M. J.: Stratospheric variability and tropospheric ozone, J Geophys ResAtmos, 114, D06102, doi:10.1029/2008JD010942, 2009.
- 621 IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to
- the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by S.
- 623 Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H. Miller,
- 624 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 2007.
- Isaksen, I., Zerefos, C., Kourtidis, K., Meleti, C., Dalsoren, S., Sundet, J., Grini, A., Zanis, P. and
- Balis, D.: Tropospheric ozone changes at unpolluted and semipolluted regions induced by
- 627 stratospheric ozone changes, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 110(D2), D02302,
- 628 doi:10.1029/2004JD004618, 2005.
- Jacob, D., Field, B., Jin, E., Bey, I., Li, Q., Logan, J. and Yantosca, R.: Atmospheric budget of
  acetone, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4100, 2002.
- Karlsdottir, S. and Isaksen, I.: Changing methane lifetime: Possible cause for reduced growth,
  Geophys Res Lett, 27(1), 93–96, 2000.
- 633 Krol, M. and Lelieveld, J.: Can the variability in tropospheric OH be deduced from measurements
- of 1,1,1-trichloroethane (methyl chloroform)? J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108(D3), 4125,
- 635 doi:10.1029/2002JD002423, 2003.
- 636 Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C.,
- 637 Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., et al.: Historical (1850-2000) gridded anthropogenic and
- biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application,
- 639 Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(15), 7017–7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- 640 Lamarque, J. F., Shindell, D., Josse, B., Eyring, V., Young, P. J., Cionni, I., Bergmann, D.,
- 641 Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. J., Doherty, R., Dalsoren, S. B., et al.: The Atmospheric
- 642 Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP): Overview and description of
- 643 models, simulations and climate diagnostics, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., in review, n.d.

- Lamarque, J.-F., Kyle, G. P., Meinshausen, M., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., van Vuuren, D. P.,
- 645 Conley, A. J. and Vitt, F.: Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases
- and aerosols in the Representative Concentration Pathways, Climatic Change, 109, 191–212,
- 647 doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, 2011.
- Lawrence, M. G. and Salzmann, M.: On interpreting studies of tracer transport by deep cumulus
   convection and its effects on atmospheric chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 8(20),
   6037–6050, 2008.
- Lee, D. S., Pitari, G., Grewe, V., Gierens, K., Penner, J. E., Petzold, A., Prather, M. J., Schumann,
- U., Bais, A., Berntsen, T., Iachetti, D., et al.: Transport impacts on atmosphere and climate:
- 653 Aviation, Atmos Environ, 44(37), 4678–4734, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.005, 2010.
- Lelieveld, J. and Crutzen, P.: Role of Deep Cloud Convection in the Ozone Budget of the Troposphere, Science, 264(5166), 1759–1761, 1994.
- Macintyre, H. L. and Evans, M. J.: Sensitivity of a global model to the uptake of N2O5 by
- tropospheric aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(15), 7409–7414, doi:10.5194/acp 10-7409-2010, 2010.
- Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., St Clair, J. M., Crounse,
- J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., et al.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide
- radicals (HOx) in the Arctic troposphere in spring, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(13),
  5823–5838, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010, 2010.
- Martin, R., Jacob, D., Yantosca, R., Chin, M. and Ginoux, P.: Global and regional decreases in
  tropospheric oxidants from photochemical effects of aerosols, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108(D3),
  4097, doi:10.1029/2002JD002622, 2003.
- McCulloch, A., Aucott, M., Graedel, T., Kleiman, G., Midgley, P. and Li, Y.: Industrial
  emissions of trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, and dichloromethane: Reactive Chlorine
  Emissions Inventory, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 104(D7), 8417–8427, 1999.
- 669 Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C. B. and Wigley, T. M. L.: Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean
- and carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6-Part 1: Model description and
  calibration, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 11(4), 1417–1456, doi:10.5194/acp-11-14172011, 2011a.
- 673 Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J. F.,
- Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., et al.: The RCP
- greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109,
- 676 213–241, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, 2011b.
- 677 Millet, D. B., Guenther, A., Siegel, D. A., Nelson, N. B., Singh, H. B., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke,
- 678 C., Williams, J., Eerdekens, G., Sinha, V., Karl, T., et al.: Global atmospheric budget of
- acetaldehyde: 3-D model analysis and constraints from in-situ and satellite observations,
- 680 Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(7), 3405–3425, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3405-2010, 2010.
- Montzka, S. A., Krol, M., Dlugokencky, E., Hall, B., Joeckel, P. and Lelieveld, J.: Small
- 682 Interannual Variability of Global Atmospheric Hydroxyl, Science, 331(6013), -,
- 683 doi:10.1126/science.1197640, 2011.

- 684 Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C. and Koshak, W. J.: Optimized regional
- and interannual variability of lightning in a global chemical transport model constrained by
   LIS/OTD satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., in review, n.d.
- Myhre, G., Nilsen, J. S., Gulstad, L., Shine, K. P., Rognerud, B. and Isaksen, I. S. A.: Radiative
  forcing due to stratospheric water vapour from CH4 oxidation, Geophys Res Lett, 34(1), L01807,
  doi:10.1029/2006GL027472, 2007.
- Myhre, G., Shine, K. P., Raedel, G., Gauss, M., Isaksen, I. S. A., Tang, Q., Prather, M. J.,
- 691 Williams, J. E., van Velthoven, P., Dessens, O., Koffi, B., et al.: Radiative forcing due to changes
- in ozone and methane caused by the transport sector, Atmos Environ, 45(2), 387–394,
- 693 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.10.001, 2011.
- Naik, V., Voulgarakis, A., Fiore, A. M., Lamarque, J. F., Lin, M., Prather, M. J., Young, P. J.,
- Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., et al.: Preindustrial to present day
- changes in tropospheric hydroxyl radical and methane lifetime from the Atmospheric Chemistry
- 697 Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), in preparation, n.d.
- Neu, J. L., Prather, M. J. and Penner, J. E.: Global atmospheric chemistry: Integrating over
  fractional cloud cover, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 112(D11), D11306, doi:10.1029/2006JD008007,
  2007.
- Newman, P. A., Daniel, J. S., Waugh, D. W. and Nash, E. R.: A new formulation of equivalent
  effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC), Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 7(17), 4537–4552,
  2007.
- Olson, J., Crawford, J., Chen, G., Brune, W., Faloona, I., Tan, D., Harder, H. and Martinez, M.: A
  reevaluation of airborne HOx observations from NASA field campaigns, J Geophys Res-Atmos,
  111, -, doi:10.1029/2005JD006617, 2006.
- 707 Ott, L. E., Pickering, K. E., Stenchikov, G. L., Allen, D. J., DeCaria, A. J., Ridley, B., Lin, R.-F.,
- Lang, S. and Tao, W.-K.: Production of lightning NOx and its vertical distribution calculated
- from three-dimensional cloud-scale chemical transport model simulations, J Geophys Res-Atmos,
   115, D04301, doi:10.1029/2009JD011880, 2010.
- Prather, M.: Numerical Advection by Conservation of 2nd-Order Moments, J Geophys ResAtmos, 91, 6671–6681, 1986.
- 713 Prather, M. J., Zhua, X., Strahan, S. E., Steenrod, S. D. and Rodriguez, J. M.: Quantifying errors
- in trace species transport modeling, P Natl Acad Sci Usa, 105(50), 19617–19621,
- 715 doi:10.1073/pnas.0806541106, 2008.
- 716 Prather, M., Ehhalt, D., Dentener, F., Derwent, R., Dlugokencky, E., Holland, E., Isaksen, I.,
- 717 Katima, J., Kirchhoff, V., Matson, P., Midgley, P., et al.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Greenhouse
- 718 Gases, in Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Third Assessment Report of the
- 719 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by J. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. Griggs, M.
- 720 Noguer, P. van der Linden, X. Dai, K. Maskell, and C. Johnson, Cambridge University Press,
- 721 Cambridge, UK. 2001.
- 722 Prather, M., Holmes, C. and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic exploration
- of uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophys Res Lett, 39,

- 724 doi:10.1029/2012GL051440, 2012.
- Price, C. and Rind, D.: Modeling global lightning distributions in a general circulation model,
- 726 Mon Weather Rev, 122(8), 1930–1939, 1994.
- 727 Prinn, R., Huang, J., Weiss, R., Cunnold, D., Fraser, P., Simmonds, P., McCulloch, A., Harth, C.,
- Reimann, S., Salameh, P., O'Doherty, S., et al.: Evidence for variability of atmospheric hydroxyl
- radicals over the past quarter century, Geophys Res Lett, 32(7), L07809,
- 730 doi:10.1029/2004GL022228, 2005.
- Ramaswamy, V., Boucher, O., Haigh, J., Hauglustaine, D., Haywood, J., Myhre, G., Nakajima,
- T., Shi, G. Y. and Solomon, S.: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change, in Cliamte Change 2001:
- 733 The Scientific Basis. Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- 734 Change, edited by J. T. Houghton, Y. Ding, D. Griggs, M. Noguer, P. J. van der Linden, X. Dai,
- K. Maskell, and C. Johnson, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK. 2001.
- 736 Riahi, K., Grübler, A. and Nakicenovic, N.: Scenarios of long-term socio-economic and
- environmental development under climate stabilization, Technological Forecasting and Social
   Change 74, 887, 935, 2007
- 738 Change, 74, 887–935, 2007.
- 739 Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E., Bosilovich, M.
- G., Schubert, S. D., Takacs, L., Kim, G.-K., Bloom, S., et al.: MERRA: NASA's Modern-Era
- 741 Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, J Climate, 24(14), 3624–3648, 742 doi:10.1175/JCLLD.11.00015.1.2011
- 742 doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00015.1, 2011.
- 743 Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Takacs, L., Liu, H.-C., Gu, W.,
- Sienkiewicz, M., Koster, R. D., Gelaro, R., Stajner, I., et al.: The GEOS-5 Data Assimilation
   System, Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation. 2008.
- Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Liu, X., Chance, K., Jaegle, L., Palmer, P. I., Wu,
- S. and Fu, T. M.: Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical
- tropospheric ozone, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 7, 815–838, 2007.
- 749 Schultz, M. G., Heil, A., Hoelzemann, J. J., Spessa, A., Thonicke, K., Goldammer, J. G., Held, A.
- C., Pereira, J. M. C. and van het Bolscher, M.: Global wildland fire emissions from 1960 to 2000,
- 751 Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 22(2), GB2002, doi:10.1029/2007GB003031, 2008.
- 752 Shine, K. P., Fouquart, Y., Ramaswamy, V., Solomon, S. and Srinivasan, J.: Radiative Forcing, in
- 753 Climate Change 1994: Radiative Forcing of Climate Change and An Evaluation of the IPCC IS92
- Emission Scenarios. Reports of Working Groups I and III of the Intergovernmental Panel of
- 755 Climate Change, edited by J. Houghton, L. Meira Filho, J. Bruce, H. Lee, B. Callander, E. Haites,
- N. Harris, and K. Maskell, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1995.
- Stevenson, D., Doherty, R., Sanderson, M., Johnson, C., Collins, B. and Derwent, D.: Impacts of
  climate change and variability on tropospheric ozone and its precursors, Faraday Discuss, 130,
  41–57, doi:10.1039/b417412g, 2005.
- *11 57*, doi:10.1059/01171125, 2005.
- 760 Stolarski, R. S. and Frith, S. M.: Search for evidence of trend slow-down in the long-term
- 761 TOMS/SBUV total ozone data record: the importance of instrument drift uncertainty,
- 762 Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 6, 4057–4065, 2006.

- 763 Søvde, O. A., Prather, M. J., Isaksen, I. S. A., Berntsen, T. K., Stordal, F., Zhu, X., Holmes, C. D.
- and Hsu, J.: The chemical transport model Oslo CTM3, Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 5(2),
- 765 1561–1626, doi:10.5194/gmdd-5-1561-2012, 2012.
- 766 Tang, Q. and Prather, M. J.: Correlating tropospheric column ozone with tropopause folds: the
- 767 Aura-OMI satellite data, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(19), 9681–9688,
- 768 doi:10.5194/acp-10-9681-2010, 2010.
- Tiedtke, M.: A Comprehensive Mass Flux Scheme for Cumulus Parameterization in Large-Scale
   Models, Mon Weather Rev, 117(8), 1779–1800, 1989.
- 771 Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T. and Mackaro, J.: Atmospheric Moisture Transports from Ocean to
- Land and Global Energy Flows in Reanalyses, J Climate, 24(18), 4907–4924,
- doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4171.1, 2011.
- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S.,
- 775 Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y. and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the
- contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997-2009),
- Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(23), 11707–11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010,
  2010.
- van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C.,
- 7/9 van vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kamuna, M., Klani, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hufti, G. C.,
   780 Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Masui, T., et al.: The representative concentration pathways:
- 781 an overview, Climatic Change, 109, 5–31, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011.
- Vinken, G. C. M., Boersma, K. F., Jacob, D. J. and Meijer, E. W.: Accounting for non-linear
  chemistry of ship plumes in the GEOS-Chem global chemistry transport model, Atmospheric
  Chemistry And Physics, 11(22), 11707–11722, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11707-2011, 2011.
- Volk, C., Elkins, J., Fahey, D., Dutton, G., Gilligan, J., Loewenstein, M., Podolske, J., CHAN, K.
  and Gunson, M.: Evaluation of source gas lifetimes from stratospheric observations, J Geophys
  Res-Atmos, 102(D21), 25543–25564, 1997.
- \_\_\_\_\_
- Voulgarakis, A., Naik, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D. T., Prather, M. J., Wild, O., Young, P. J.,
  Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., et al.: Multimodel simulations of
- present-day and future OH and methane lifetime, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics
   Discussions in review n.d.
- 791 Discussions, in review, n.d.
- Voulgarakis, A., Savage, N. H., Wild, O., Braesicke, P., Young, P. J., Carver, G. D. and Pyle, J.
- A.: Interannual variability of tropospheric composition: the influence of changes in emissions,
- meteorology and clouds, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics, 10(5), 2491–2506,
- 795 doi:10.5194/acp-10-2491-2010, 2010.
- Wang, J. S., McElroy, M. B., Logan, J. A., Palmer, P. I., Chameides, W. L., Wang, Y. and
- 797 Megretskaia, I. A.: A quantitative assessment of uncertainties affecting estimates of global mean
- 798 OH derived from methyl chloroform observations, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113(D12), D12302,
- 799 doi:10.1029/2007JD008496, 2008.
- 800 Wennberg, P., Peacock, S., Randerson, J. and Bleck, R.: Recent changes in the air-sea gas
- 801 exchange of methyl chloroform, Geophys Res Lett, 31(16), L16112, doi:10.1029/2004GL020476,
- 802 2004.

- 803 Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Rind, D. and Streets, D. G.: Effects of 2000-2050 changes in
- 804 climate and emissions on global tropospheric ozone and the policy-relevant background surface
- 805 ozone in the United States, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 113(D18), D18312,
- 806 doi:10.1029/2007JD009639, 2008.
- 807 Yamaguchi, K. and Noda, A.: Global warming patterns over the North Pacific: ENSO versus AO,
- 808 J Meteorol Soc Jpn, 84(1), 221–241, 2006.

809

#### **Tables** 810

#### Table 1. Emissions 811

Source (Inventory) <sup>a</sup>	NOx, Tg(N) a <sup>-1</sup>	CO, Tg a <sup>-1</sup>	Isoprene, Tg a <sup>-1</sup>	
Anthropogenic (RCP year 2000)	32 <sup>b</sup>	609	-	
Biomass burning (GFED3)	5.6 <sup>c</sup>	360 <sup>c</sup>	-	
Biogenic (MEGAN)	-	76	523	
Lightning	5 <sup>d</sup>	-	-	
Total	42	1047	523	

<sup>a</sup> Inventory references: RCP (Lamarque et al., 2011), GFED3 (van der Werf et al., 2010), 812

MEGAN (Guenther et al., 2006). 813

<sup>b</sup> Land, ship, and aviation components are 26, 5.4, and 0.85 Tg(N) a<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. 814

<sup>c</sup> Average biomass burning for 1997-2009. Emissions for individual years are 3.3-6.1 Tg(N) a<sup>-1</sup> 815 and 263-605 Tg(CO) a<sup>-1</sup>. 816

<sup>d</sup> Average for 1997-2009 in UCI CTM and Oslo CTM3. Emissions for individual years are 4.8-5.4 Tg(N)  $a^{-1}$ . GEOS-Chem has 5.7-6.4 Tg(N)  $a^{-1}$  (average 6 Tg(N)  $a^{-1}$ ) over 2004-2009. 817

818

Variable	UCI Oslo GEOS- CTM CTM3 Chem		Literature <sup>g</sup>	Adopted <sup>f</sup>	
Chemistry-climate interactions					
Air temperature* <sup>†b</sup>	-3.9	-2.8	-2.2		$-3.0 \pm 0.8$
Water vapor* <sup>†b</sup>	-0.32	-0.29	-0.34		$-0.32 \pm 0.03$
Ozone column* <sup>†e</sup>	+0.66	+0.43	+0.61	+0.28-0.76 [7]	$+0.55 \pm 0.11$
Lightning NOx emissions* <sup>†</sup>	-0.14	-0.11	-0.24		$-0.16 \pm 0.06$
Biomass burning emissions* <sup>†c</sup>	+0.021	+0.024	+0.017		$+0.021 \pm 0.010$
${ m CH_4}$ abundance <sup>†d</sup>	+0.363	+0.307	+0.274	+0.32 [1] $+0.28 \pm 0.03$ [2]	$+0.31 \pm 0.04$ (f = 1.34 ± 0.06)
Convective mass flux	-0.036				Ň
Optical depth, ice clouds	+0.013				Ν
Optical depth, water clouds	-0.025				Ν
Anthropogenic emissions					
Land $NOx^{\dagger h}$	-0.15	-0.10	-0.16	-0.137 [1] -0.121±0.055 [3]	$-0.14 \pm 0.03$
Ship NOx $^{\dagger}$	-0.045	-0.050	-0.017	$-0.0412 \pm 0.01$ [4] $-0.0374 \pm 0.005$ [5]	$-0.03 \pm 0.015$
Aviation NOx <sup><math>\dagger</math></sup>				$-0.014 \pm 0.003$ [6]	$-0.014 \pm 0.003$
$\mathrm{CO}^\dagger$				0.11 [1]	0.11
$\mathrm{VOC}^\dagger$				$\begin{array}{c} 0.074 \pm 0.004 \ [3] \\ 0.047 \ [1] \\ 0.033 \pm 0.01 \ [3] \end{array}$	0.047

# 819 Table 2. Sensitivity of $\tau_{\rm CH, \times OH}$ to climate variables and emissions <sup>a</sup>

820 \* Major cause of interannual  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variability, used for  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  reconstruction in Section 3.

821 <sup>†</sup> Used for 1980-2100 prediction of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in Sections 4 and 5.

822 <sup>a</sup> Sensitivities are  $d\ln(\tau_{CH4\times OH})/d\ln(F)$  for each variable *F*. Values calculated for 1998-9

perturbations simulations in UCI CTM and Oslo CTM3 and 2004-5 for GEOS-Chem, except

824 where noted below for biomass burning and  $CH_4$  feedback. Perturbation magnitudes were chosen

to be similar to interannual variability or decadal trend (see Table S1 for exact magnitudes).

<sup>b</sup> Tropospheric perturbation only.

<sup>c</sup> Biomass burning sensitivity in UCI CTM ranges over 0.008-0.046 (See Figure 3). Value for the

828 UCI CTM is the emission-weighted average for 1997-2009. Values for other models are scaled to

829 1997-2009 means, assuming the same relative variability as the UCI CTM. Adopted uncertainty

accounts for this large sensitivity changes between years.

 $^{d}$  f is the methane feedback factor, defined as the ratio of methane perturbation lifetime to total

budget lifetime (Prather et al., 2001). We calculate *f* using recent estimates of all methane sinks

833 (Prather et al., 2012). Using IPCC TAR lifetimes increases f by 0.03.

<sup>e</sup> Ozone columns over 40°S-40°N are perturbed only in photolysis calculations. Responses in UCI

835 CTM and GEOS-Chem are due solely to tropospheric chemistry. The Oslo CTM3 response

- includes stratospheric chemistry and stratosphere-troposphere exchange, but CTM3 results are
   rescaled to the same ozone perturbations as the other models.
- <sup>f</sup> Adopted values are the mean of CTMs, except for CO, VOC, and aviation NOx, which come
- from literature. Uncertainties are  $1-\sigma$  values based on CTM spread and expert assessment. Terms
- 840 marked N have negligible impact on interannual  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variability and are not used in the 841 parametric model.
- <sup>g</sup>[1] (Prather et al., 2001), [2] (Fiore et al., 2009), [3] (Fry et al., 2012), [4] (Hoor et al., 2009),
- [5] (Myhre et al., 2011), [6] (Holmes et al., 2011) [7] (Karlsdottir and Isaksen, 2000)
- <sup>h</sup> All anthropogenic emission occurring over land, including combustion, agriculture, and waste.
- 845

	Dataset			
Variable	Historical	Source <sup>a</sup>	Future	Source <sup>a</sup>
	(1980-2005)		(2010-2100)	
Temperature	MERRA	[1]	CMIP5 <sup>b</sup>	[5]
Water vapor	MERRA	[1]	CMIP5 <sup>b</sup>	[5]
Column O <sub>3</sub>	TOMS/SBUV	[2]	SPARC	[6]
L-NOx	$0 \pm 10$ %	Assumed	$+10 \pm 20 \%$	Assumed
Biomass burning	CMIP5	[3]	RCP 8.5	[7]
Anthropogenic emissions	CMIP5	[3]	RCP 8.5	[7]
(NOx, CO, VOC)				
CH <sub>4</sub> abundance	CMIP5	[4]	this work <sup>c</sup>	

#### Table 3. Datasets for historical and future $au_{ m CH, \times OH}$ 845

846 <sup>a</sup>[1] (Bosilovich et al., 2011), [2] (Stolarski and Frith, 2006), [3] (Eyring et al., 2010b; Lamarque

et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2010; Schultz et al., 2008), [4] CMIP5 historical GHG recommendations 847 (Meinshausen et al., 2011b) [5] Ensemble of 34 CMIP5 models (Climate Explorer,

848

849 http://climexp.knmi.nl/, accessed July 18, 2012) [6] CCM-Val2 multimodel mean for SRES A1B

greenhouses gases and A2 ozone depleting substances (Austin and Scinocca, 2010; Evring et al., 850 2010a), uncertainties assumed to be  $\pm 3\%$  in 2100. [7] (Riahi et al., 2007; van Vuuren et al., 851

852 2011)

853 <sup>b</sup> Future atmospheric temperature is calculated from sea-surface temperature (SST) in each

854 CMIP5 model. Water vapor is then calculated from atmospheric temperature using standard

855 vapor pressure formulas and assuming constant relative humidity. The range of SST in the

856 CMIP5 models is propagated to uncertainty in air temperature and water vapor. See Supplement 857 for details.

858 <sup>c</sup> We calculate future CH<sub>4</sub> using  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  from Equation 1 (adopted parameters from Table 2 and

859 other inputs from this Table) and RCP 8.5 emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>. Other sinks and natural emissions,

plus their uncertainties, are from Prather et al. (2012). We also specify  $\pm 20\%$  uncertainty in 860

861 natural  $CH_4$  emissions in 2100. Uncertatinties in all terms are propagated using the monte carlo

approach of Prather et al. 862

# Table 4. Changes (2100-2010) in climate variables, emissions, and $\tau_{\rm CH_4 \times OH}$ for RCP 8.5ª

Variable	Variable change	$\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ 866 change, %	
Air temperature, 40°S-40°N <sup>b</sup>	$+3.7 \pm 0.9$ K	$-4.2 \pm 1.5$	
Water vapor, 40°S-40°N	$+38.5 \pm 9.1$ %	$-11.5 \pm 2.8$	
Ozone column, 40°S-40°N	$0.7 \pm 3.0$ %	$0.4 \pm 1.7$	
Lightning NOx emissions	$10 \pm 20 \%$	$-1.2 \pm 3.3$	
Biomass burning emissions	-34.8 %	$+0.9 \pm 0.4$	
CH <sub>4</sub> abundance	$+78.5 \pm 7.9$ %	$+29.0 \pm 7.3$	
Anthropogenic emissions			
Land NOx	-75.3 %	$+12.8 \pm 0.9$	
Ship NOx	-7.2 %	$+0.2 \pm 0.1$	
Aircraft NOx	+123 %	$-1.7 \pm 0.4$	
СО	-44.0 %	-4.7	
VOC	-11.1 %	-0.5	
Total (this work)		$+13.3 \pm 10.0$	
IPCC TAR Total (Prather et a	+29.6		
MAGICC Total (Meinshauser	+12.6		
	. /		

<sup>a</sup> Variable changes from data sources in Table 3, except CH<sub>4</sub> abundance, which is calculated from 

the scenario CH<sub>4</sub> emissions and the time-evolving  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  (see text).  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  component changes 

derived from the variable changes and the sensitivities in Table 2, including uncertainties in both. 

<sup>b</sup> Surface to 400 hPa average. 

872	Table 5. Present-day, steady-state methane impact on ozone and
873	radiative forcing <sup>a</sup>

	UCI CTM	Oslo CTM3	GEOS- Chem	Literature	Adopted
Ozone chemistry, DU(O <sub>3</sub> ) pp	$m(CH_4)^{-1}$				
$d[O_3]/d[CH_4]$	4.03(T)	4.98(T)	2.90(T)	$3.5\pm1.0(T)^{b}$ $3.0\pm0.8(T)^{c}$	
d[O <sub>3</sub> ]/d[H <sub>2</sub> O] (from CH <sub>4</sub> )		10.32(S) -0.40(T) -4.35(S)			
<i>Radiative forcing</i> , mW m <sup>-2</sup> p	$pm(CH_4)^{-1}$				
CH <sub>4</sub>	367	367	367	$370\pm27^{d}$	370
O <sub>3</sub> from CH <sub>4</sub>	141(T)	198(T) 78(S)	108(T) <sup>f</sup>	$126 \pm 45(\mathrm{T})^{\mathrm{b}}$	150(T) 78(S)
O <sub>3</sub> from CH <sub>4</sub> via H <sub>2</sub> O		-16(T) -19(S)			-16(T) -19(S)
H <sub>2</sub> O from CH <sub>4</sub> Total				55 <sup>e</sup>	55 618
100-year GWP				$25^{d}$ 24.2 ± 4.2 <sup>e</sup>	31.6

# radiative forcing

<sup>a</sup> Troposphere (T) and stratosphere (S) values given separately, wherever possible. All CTM 874 results are for 2009. 875

<sup>b</sup> (review by Holmes et al., 2011) 876

<sup>c</sup> (Fry et al., 2012) 877

<sup>d</sup> (Forster et al., 2007) 878

<sup>e</sup> 15% of CH<sub>4</sub> direct RF (Myhre et al., 2007) 879

<sup>f</sup> Calculated from tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> change using the average RF efficiency from the other models. 880

# 881 Figures





## 884 **Figure 1.**

885 Methane lifetime due to oxidation by tropospheric OH ( $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ ) simulated by each CTM (solid 886 lines) and reconstructed from the 5-parameter model (dashed lines). The parameters are 887 temperature, water vapor, ozone column, lightning NOx emission, and biomass burning emission. 888 Parameter values for each CTM are given in Table 2 and the corresponding variables are in 889 Figure 2. R<sup>2</sup> values show correlation between each CTM and its own 5-parameter model. GEOS-890 Chem simulations use either MERRA or GEOS-5 meteorology. All lifetimes are smoothed with a

891 12-month running mean.

892



## 893 Figure 2.

894 Climate and emission variables controlling the interannual variation of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in CTMs.

Emissions are global totals, while other climate variables are averaged over  $40^{\circ}$ S –  $40^{\circ}$ N, where

896 80 % of methane oxidation occurs. Colors indicate which inputs are used by each CTM.

897

892



# 901 Sensitivity of $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ to biomass burning emissions, *E*, in the UCI CTM. Biomass burning CO

- 901 Sensitivity of  $t_{CH4\times OH}$  to biomass outning emissions, *E*, in the OCI CTW. Biomass burning CO 902 emissions and the CO/NO emission ratio from the GFED3 inventory are also shown. Peak
- 903 emissions and CO/NO ratio occur during El Niño events, due to tropical peat fires.

904



#### \_\_\_

904

905 **Figure 4**.

906 Interannual variability of  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  in CTMs and observed methyl chloroform (MCF) decay rate. 907 Observations are derived from atmospheric MCF abundances at NOAA and AGAGE surface stations (Montzka et al., 2011; Prinn et al., 2005), with an uncertainty (shaded) given by the 16<sup>th</sup> 908 909 to 84<sup>th</sup> percentile range  $(\pm 1\sigma)$  of decay rates across stations within each network, and adjusted by 910 the tropospheric OH fraction of total MCF loss. All data are shown as anomalies relative to their 911 own 2004-2010 mean (2004-2008 for NOAA data). Models, observations, and uncertainties are smoothed with a 12-month running average. Note anomalies in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  and decay rate have 912 913 opposite sign.



914

## 915 Figure 5.

916 Recent historical  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  variation (left) and its component causes (right). Lifetime reconstructed

917 in this work from Eq. 1, with components shown at right. Shaded region shows  $\pm \sigma$  uncertainty

918 propagated from parameter ranges in Table 2, but not including possible errors in reanalysis,

919 ozone, or emission inputs. All data are anomalies with respect to their 2000-2005 means. The

920 anthropogenic (anthro) NOx component combines the separate effects of land, ship, and aircraft

921 emissions. See Figure S6 for uncertainties in each component.


# 923 **Figure 6**.

924 Contribution of emissions and chemistry-climate interactions to changes in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  from 1980 to 925 2005 (top) and from 2010 to 2100 (bottom). Components and their uncertainties are derived from

parameters in Table 2 and forcing variables in Table 3. Uncertainties (vertical bars) are standard

927 deviations from  $10^5$  monte carlo integrations. Note the different vertical scales.

928



### 929 Figure 7.

930 Projected future methane abundance (left) and total lifetime (right) for RCP 8.5. Projected

931 uncertainty (shaded) is the standard deviation from  $10^5$  monte carlo integrations, accounting for

932 uncertainty in the present-day budget, emissions, and climate-chemistry effects on  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$ . Our

projections are compared to MAGICC model (Meinshausen et al., 2011a) and the IPCC TAR

934 formula (Prather et al., 2001).

# **Supplementary Information:**

# Future methane, hydroxyl, and their uncertainties: key climate and emission parameters for future predictions

4

5 Christopher D. Holmes<sup>1\*</sup>, Michael J. Prather<sup>1</sup>, Amund O. Søvde<sup>2</sup>, Gunnar Myhre<sup>2</sup>

<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-3100 USA

<sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>Center for International Climate and Environmental Research (CICERO), Oslo, Norway

- 8 \* Correspondence to cdholmes@uci.edu
- 9
- 10

#### 11 Methyl chloroform decay rate and its uncertainty

The AGAGE network consists of 5 sites, each of which makes measurements every 20 minutes,
with analysis and calibration done on site. In the NOAA network, flasks are filled 1-4 times
monthly and analyzed in a central laboratory in Boulder, Colorado. To avoid pollution influences,
flasks are filled when winds blow from a clean sector. In the AGAGE network polluted samples

are identified as anomalously high MCF concentrations and removed from analysis.

18

19 Both networks provide monthly average data for each of their sites, which we use here (NOAA: 20 (IPCC, 2007), accessed Jan 5, 2012; AGAGE: (Prather et al., 2001), accessed April 4, 2012). For 21 the NOAA network, we use data from the same 9 sites as Montzka et al. (2011) (South Pole; 22 Cape Grim, Australia; Cape Matatula, American Samoa; Alert, Canada; and United States sites at 23 Mauna Loa, Hawaii; Niwot Ridge, Colorado; KLEF tower, Minnesota; and Barrow, Alaska). All 24 5 AGAGE sites are used here analysis (Cape Grim, Australia; Cape Matatula, American Samoa; 25 Ragged Point, Barbados; Trinidad Head, United States; and Mace Head, Ireland). NOAA data are 26 truncated at December 2007 due to later quality issues (S. Montzka, pers. comm.). 27 28 Our method for calculating the global MCF decay rate differs from that of Montzka et al. (2011). 29 Montzka et al. first constructed a global mean tropospheric MCF abundance from a weighted 30 average of the sites, then calculated the global decay rate using the same formula we have applied

31 to each site individually. We find our method to be much less sensitive to site selection and

32 methods for filling missing data, but our global mean decay rates and their anomalies are,

- nevertheless, very similar, as shown in Figure S1. Differences are always less than 1% after 2000,
- but are as large as 2% in early 1998, due to more frequent data gaps in the early period.
- 35
- 36

#### 37 Future tropospheric temperature and water vapor

38 39 The parametric model for  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  requires atmospheric temperature and water vapor as inputs,

40 averaged over 40°S-40°N and from the surface to 400 hPa. These input data must be consistent

41 with other scenario emission data, which are taken from RCP 8.5 in this work. Averages over the

42 required region, where 80% of methane oxidation occurs, are not readily available from CMIP5

models. Therefore, for our future methane predictions, we derive atmospheric temperature and
 water vapor from sea-surface temperature (SST) in the CMIP5, using historical correlations

45 between these climate variables.

46

Figure S3 shows strong correlations between historical (1979-2010) SST and tropospheric temperatures for the region of fast methane loss. These correlations are robust against different meteorological analysis products ( $R^2$ =0.7 to 0.8). Using MERRA data (Bosilovich et al., 2011) since 1979, the slope of atmosphere vs. sea-surface temperatures is 1.28 ± 0.1. ECMWF data (cycle 36r1, (Prather et al., 2012)) since 1997 yield a statistically indistinguishable value. Using other SST data (e.g. Reynolds et al., 2002) does not alter the result (not shown). A slope greater than 1 is expected from physical princples and Santer et al. (2005) found a similar ratio of 1:1.3

for multidecadal trends in surface temperature vs. lower tropospheric temperature.

54 55

56 Water vapor mixing ratio can be calculated from atmospheric temperature using standard vapor 57 pressure formulas (e.g. Eq. 2.61 Jacobson, 2005), assuming constant relative humidity. Figure S4 58 shows that the calculated water vapor is highly correlated with reanalysis water vapor ( $R^2 = 0.7$ -

- 59 (1.51  $\pm$  0.18 when the calculations are based on SST. The slope deviates from
- 60 1:1 because both temperature and water vapor are averaged over a large region (40°S-40°N,
- 61 surface to 400 hPa), while vapor pressure formulas strictly apply only to homogeneous regions.
- 62

An ensemble of 34 CMIP5 models provide SST predictions for RCP 8.5 climate (Climate

Explorer, <u>http://climexp.knmi.nl/</u>, accessed July 18, 2012). We calculate future atmospheric temperature,  $T_i(t)$  in each model *i* to be

66  $T_i(t) = T_0 * [1 + a_1 * (SST_i(t) / SST_i(t_0) - 1)],$ 

67 Where  $SST_i(t)$  is the model's SST,  $t_0 = 2010$ ,  $T_0 = 278.5$  K (ECMWF 2000-2009 mean), and  $a_1 =$ 

68 N(1.3, 0.1) is a normally distributed random number that accounts for uncertainty in the historical 69 fit between atmospheric temperature and SST. Future water vapor mixing ratio,  $q_i(t)$ , in the same

70 model is

71  $q_i(t) = p(T_0) + a_2 * [p(T_i(t)) - p(T_0)],$ 

- where p(T) is the saturation vapor pressure at temperature T and  $a_2 = N(1.5, 0.2)$  accounts for
- $10^{5}$  uncertainty in the historical fit between water vapor and its SST-derived estimate. Through  $10^{5}$

monte carlo realizations of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  we estimate uncertainty in atmospheric future temperature and water vapor in RCP 8.5.

- Figure S5 shows the air temperature and water vapor changes inferred from the SST data. While
- SST increases  $3.2 \pm 0.6$  K by 2100 in RCP 8.5, atmospheric temperatures increase  $4.0 \pm 0.9$  K and water vapor increases  $38.2 \pm 8.9$  % over the same period.
- 79

#### 80 References

Bosilovich, M. G., Robertson, F. R. and Chen, J.: Global Energy and Water Budgets in MERRA,
J Climate, 24(22), 5721–5739, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4175.1, 2011.

Jacobson, M. Z.: Fundamentals of Atmospheric Modeling, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press,
 Cambridge, UK. 2005.

- 85 Montzka, S. A., Krol, M., Dlugokencky, E., Hall, B., Joeckel, P. and Lelieveld, J.: Small
- 86 Interannual Variability of Global Atmospheric Hydroxyl, Science, 331(6013), -,
- doi:10.1126/science.1197640, 2011.

- 88 Reynolds, R., Rayner, N., Smith, T., Stokes, D. and Wang, W.: An improved in situ and satellite
- 89 SST analysis for climate, J Climate, 15(13), 1609–1625, 2002.
- 90 Santer, B., Wigley, T., Mears, C., Wentz, F., Klein, S., Seidel, D., Taylor, K., Thorne, P.,
- 91 Wehner, M., Gleckler, P., Boyle, J., et al.: Amplification of surface temperature trends and
- variability in the tropical atmosphere, Science, 309(5740), 1551–1556,
- 93 doi:10.1126/science.1114867, 2005.

94

95

#### Table S1: CTM simulations<sup>a</sup>

Description or perturbed variable	Perturbation		
	Magnitude	Region	Duration, y
Control simulation, no perturbations	-	-	UCI, CTM3: 13
			GEOS-Chem
			MERRA: 13
			GEOS-5: 6
Air temperature in chemistry solver	+1 K	global <sup>c</sup>	3
Water vapor in chemistry solver	+5 %	global <sup>c</sup>	3
Ozone column in photolysis code	+1 %	40°S-40°N	3
Biomass burning emissions	+5 %	global	3 (13 for UCI)
Lightning NOx emissions	+20 %	global	3
Anthropogenic NOx emissions over land	+7.8 % <sup>b</sup>	global	3
Anthropogenic NOx emissions from ships	+14.4% <sup>b</sup>	global	3
CH <sub>4</sub> abundance	+5 %	global	13
Convective mass flux	-20 %	global	3 (UCI only)
Cloud optical depth (all clouds) in photolysis code	+5 %	global	3 (UCI only)
Cloud optical depth (ice clouds) in photolysis code	+5 %	global	3 (UCI only)
Cloud optical depth (liquid clouds) in photolysis code	+5 %	global	3 (UCI only)

<sup>1</sup> Each variable is perturbed in a separate simulation. All perturbation tests are compared against a

control run from the same CTM. GEOS-Chem perturbation tests use GEOS-5 meteorology only. <sup>b</sup> This magnitude is the projected increase during the period 2000-2030 in RCP 8.5. 

<sup>c</sup> In Oslo CTM3, temperature and water vapor perturbations are applied only to grid levels below 

200 hPa to avoid confounding effects on stratospheric chemistry. 



1041998200020022004200620082010105Figure S1. Global decay rate anomalies for methyl chloroform, calculated from NOAA data using106two methods. Results from this work are compared to previously published work of Montzka et107al. (2011). Shading shows the uncertainty, given by the 16<sup>th</sup> to 84<sup>th</sup> percentile range of decay rates108across stations within each network, calculated in this work.



1091998200020022004200620082010110Figure S2. Methyl chloroform (MCF) abundance at the Cape Grim, Australia, as measured by the111NOAA and AGAGE stations. Anomalies (bottom) are calculated with respect to a single

decaying exponential reference curve that is fitted to all observations from both stations after

113 2000. Vertical lines show standard errors in the monthly mean abundances. Shading highlights

episodes were the NOAA and AGAGE monthly means differ by more than their standard errorsfor 2 or more consecutive months.



SST, K
 Figure S3. Annual mean tropospheric temperature in the region of rapid methane loss (40°S-

- 119 40°N, surface to 400hPa) and annual mean SST (40°S-40°N) for ECMWF (left) and MERRA
- 120 (right) meteorological analyses. Black line shows 1:1 relation. Blue and green lines are ordinary
- 121 least squares regressions for all years and 1997-2010, respectively.
- 122

116



122 123

Figure S4. Water vapor mixing ratio from MERRA reanalysis (1979-2009) and calculated from

temperature. Calculations are based on either SST (orange dots) or atmospheric temperature (blue

125 dots) from MERRA reanalysis, using standard vapor pressure formulas, assuming constant

relative humidity. Water vapor and SST are averaged over 40°S-40°N. Atmospheric temperatures

- are additionally averaged from the surface to 400hPa.
- 128



Figure S5. Predicted anomalies in SST (top), atmospheric temperature (middle), and water vapor (bottom) for RCP 8.5. SSTs are from an ensemble of 34 CMIP5 models, while other variables are derived from SSTs, as described in this supplement. All variables are averages over 40°S-40°N 

and atmospheric data are averaged from the surface to 400 hPa. Shading shows  $1\sigma$  uncertainty. 



Figure S6. Contributions of climate and emission forcing variables to changes in  $\tau_{CH4\times OH}$  since 138 1980. The sum of all contributions equals the change in lifetime shown in Figure 4.