# The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology

#### Stealth Assessment

Contributors: Valerie J. Shute Editors: J. Michael Spector Book Title: The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology Chapter Title: "Stealth Assessment" Pub. Date: 2015 Access Date: June 17, 2015 Publishing Company: SAGE Publications, Inc. City: Thousand Oaks, Print ISBN: 9781452258225 Online ISBN: 9781483346397 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483346397.n278 Print pages: 675-678 ©2015 SAGE Publications, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

This PDF has been generated from SAGE knowledge. Please note that the pagination of the online version will vary from the pagination of the print book.

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483346397.n278

When assessment is seamlessly woven into the fabric of the learning or gaming environment so that it's virtually invisible—blurring the distinction between learning and assessment—this is stealth assessment. It is intended to **[p. 675**  $\downarrow$  **]** be invisible, be ongoing, support learning, and remove (or seriously reduce) test anxiety while not sacrificing validity and consistency. A good way to describe stealth assessment is with a metaphor. Consider the way that businesses were run before the onset of barcodes in the mid-1970s. Before barcodes, businesses had to close down once or twice a year to take inventory of their stock. But with the advent of automated checkout and barcodes for all items, businesses today have access to a continuous stream of information that can be used to monitor inventory and the flow of items. Not only can a business continue without interruption, but the information obtained is far richer than before, enabling stores to monitor trends and aggregate the data into various kinds of summaries, as well as to support real-time, just-in-time inventory management.

Now think about approaches to assessment in schools today. They are usually divorced from learning where the typical educational cycle is *Teach. Stop. Administer test. Loop back with new content.* But with stealth assessment, schools would no longer have to interrupt the normal instructional process at various times during the year to administer external tests to students. Instead, assessment would be continual and invisible to students, supporting real-time, just-in-time instruction. This entry first discusses the relevance of stealth assessment to education and how stealth assessment uses the assessment design framework known as evidence-centered design. It then gives a brief example of stealth assessment.

#### **Relevance of Stealth Assessment**

Why is stealth assessment relevant to education right now? Constructing such seamless and ubiquitous assessments across multiple learner dimensions, with data accessible by diverse stakeholders, could yield several educational benefits. First, the time spent administering tests, handling make-up exams, and going over test responses is not particularly conducive to learning. Approximately 10% of class time is currently spent on assessment activities. Given the importance of time-on-task as a predictor of

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology: Stealth Assessment

Page 3 of 8

learning, reallocating that 10% into activities that are more educationally productive is a potentially large benefit that would apply to almost all students in all classes.

Second, by having assessments that are continuous and ubiquitous, students are no longer able to cram for an exam. Although cramming provides good short-term recall, it is a poor route to long-term retention and transfer of learning. Thus, standard assessment practices in school lead to assessing students in a manner that is in conflict with their long-term success. With a continuous assessment model in place, the best way for students to do well is to do well every day. And though this statement sounds tautological, it is not how most classes are structured. By moving students toward a model where they will retain more of what they learn, we are enabling them to better succeed in cumulative domains such as mathematics and science, which are essential to our nation's economic health.

The third direct benefit is that this shift in assessment mirrors the national shift from evaluating students based on the number of years they have occupied seats at a desk to evaluating students on the basis of acquired competencies. A growing number of states are requiring students to pass a high-stakes final exam in order to graduate from high school. While increasing numbers of educators are growing wary of pencil-and-paper, high-stakes tests for which students must prepare, this shift toward ensuring students have acquired "essential" skills fits with the idea of continuous, stealth assessment.

The remainder of this entry provides an overview of evidence-centered design (which undergirds stealth assessment) and describes briefly an example of a game that has three stealth assessments running within it.

#### Stealth Assessment and Evidence-Centered Design

Stealth assessment uses an assessment design framework referred to as evidencecentered design, formalized by Robert Mislevy, Linda Steinberg, and Russell Almond in the late 1990s. In general, the primary purpose of any assessment is to collect

Page 4 of 8

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology: Stealth Assessment information that will allow the assessor to make valid inferences about what people know, believe, and can do, and to what degree (collectively referred to as *competencies* in this entry). Accurate inferences of competency states support instructional decisions that can promote learning. Evidence-centered design (ECD) defines a framework that consists of several conceptual and computational models that work in concert. The framework requires an assessor to (a) define the claims to be made about learners' competencies, (b) establish what constitutes valid evidence of the claim, and (c) determine the nature and form of tasks or situations that will elicit that evidence. Each of these models is now described.

## Three Main Models in ECD

### **Competency Model**

The first model in a good assessment addresses this question: What collection of knowledge, skills, and other attributes should be assessed? Variables in the competency model (CM) describe the set of personal attributes on which inferences are based. The term student (or learner) model is used to mean an instantiated **[p. 676**  $\downarrow$  **]** version of the CM—like a profile or report card, only at a more refined grain size. Values in the learner model express the assessor's current belief about the level on each variable within the learner's CM.

### **Evidence Model**

The second model is the evidence model, which asks, "What behaviors or performances should reveal those constructs identified and structured in the CM?" An evidence model expresses how the student's interactions with, and responses to, a given problem constitute evidence about competency model variables. The evidence model (EM) attempts to answer two questions: What behaviors or performances reveal targeted competencies? What's the statistical connection between those behaviors and the CM variable(s)? Basically, an evidence model lays out the argument about why and

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology: Stealth Assessment

Page 5 of 8

how observations in a given task situation (i.e., student performance data) constitute evidence about CM variables.

# Task Model

The third model addresses the kinds of tasks or situations that should be created to elicit those behaviors that comprise the evidence. A task model (TM) provides a framework for characterizing and constructing situations with which a learner will interact to provide evidence about targeted aspects of knowledge or skill related to competencies.

As learners interact with tasks or problems during the solution process, they are providing a continuous stream of data that is analyzed by the evidence model. The results of this analysis are data (e.g., scores) that are passed on to the competency model, which in turn updates the claims about relevant competencies. In short, the ECD approach provides a framework for developing assessment tasks that are explicitly linked to claims about personal competencies via an evidentiary chain (e.g., valid arguments that serve to connect task performance to competency estimates) and are thus valid for their intended purposes.

#### Brief Example of Stealth Assessment

*Physics Playground* (developed by Empirical Games and formerly known *as Newton's Playground*) is a computer-based game with 2D physics simulations for gravity, mass, potential, kinetic energy, transfer of momentum, and so on. The goal of all 75 levels in the game is to guide a green ball over to hit a red balloon. Everything in the game obeys the basic rules of physics. Using the mouse, players draw colored objects on the screen, which "come to life" when drawn. These objects apply Newtonian mechanics to get the ball to the balloon, and they include simple machines such as levers, ramps, pendulums, and springboards.

Three stealth assessments are coded deeply into the game: measuring creativity, conscientiousness, and qualitative physics understanding. Competency and evidence

Page 6 of 8

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology: Stealth Assessment models were created for each of the constructs. This entailed, per construct, about a 10- to 12-month literature review, then structuring the main competency variables into a model. Evidence was defined as the things a person did in the game that would provide information about particular competency variables. Task models provided a blueprint for creating all of the levels in the game. Levels increased in difficulty across the seven different playgrounds, and each level focused on eliciting evidence related to particular aspects of Newton's laws of motion.

For instance, conscientiousness was modeled with four main facets: persistence, perfectionism, organization, and carefulness. For the persistence facet, a set of observables was defined (i.e., behaviors in the game providing relevant evidence) that included the following: time spent on unsolved levels, number of restarts of a level, and number of revisits to unsolved levels. The game automatically tallies this information in log files that are then analyzed by the stealth assessment machinery. The difference between answering self-report questions about persistence (e.g., "I always try my hardest") and actually exerting substantial effort when trying to solve a hard problem in the game is a clear example of the expression *Actions speak louder than words*. And they do.

### Conclusion

In addition to the direct benefits to education described earlier, there are some indirect benefits as well. For example, the current capacity to assess students is often limited in that it is based on a relatively small number of test items. In moving toward a seamless assessment model, one will be able to more accurately assess students since there will be access to a much broader collection of the student's learning data. More accurate assessments will enable better support for student learning across a range of important educational areas.

**See also**Assessing Learning in Simulation-Based Environments; Assessment in Game-Based Learning; Assessment of Problem Solving and Higher Order Thinking; Engaged Learning; Games and Transformational Play; Learning Analytics; Measuring Learning in Informal Contexts; Twenty-First-Century Technology Skills

Page 7 of 8

The SAGE Encyclopedia of Educational Technology: Stealth Assessment Valerie J.Shute

http://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483346397.n278 Further Readings

Messick, S. (1989). Validity . In R. L.Linn (Ed.), Educational measurement (3rd ed., pp. 13–104). New York, NY: Macmillan.

Messick, S. (1994). The interplay of evidence and consequences in the validation of performance assessments . Education Researcher , 23(2), 13–23.

Mislevy, R. J. (1994). Evidence and inference in educational assessment . Psychometrika , 59, 439–483.

Mislevy, R. J., Steinberg, L. S., & Almond, R. G. (2003). On the structure of educational assessment . Measurement: Interdisciplinary Research and Perspective , 1(1) 3–62.

Shute, V. J., & Ventura, M. (2013). Measuring and supporting learning in games: Stealth assessment . Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Shute, V. J., Ventura, M., Bauer, M. I., & Zapata-Rivera, D. (2009). Melding the power of serious games and embedded assessment to monitor and foster learning: Flow and grow . In U.Ritterfeld, M.Cody, & P.Vorderer (Eds.), Serious games: Mechanisms and effects (pp. 295–321). Mahwah, NJ: Routledge.

Page 8 of 8