

RE: GPC vote

Jennifer Buchanan

Fri 6/30/2017 1:12 PM

To: Mark Isaac <misaac@fsu.edu>;

Dear Mark,

Your interpretation is correct. One correction to your understanding is that because I represent the VP (which Sally was then), I send brief notes about highlights of GPC meetings to her.

Jennifer

From: Mark Isaac
Sent: Friday, June 30, 2017 12:52 PM
To: Jennifer Buchanan <jbuchanan@admin.fsu.edu>
Subject: GPC vote

Hi Jennifer:

Here is your e-mail. Throughout the document the authors assert that you are meaning that the final vote (Final Recommendation: the Economics PhD program should be renewed) was a "close vote." Everyone over here reads this that you were talking about the close vote (9-3-3) that removed the recommendation about the information that was placed on the website. No one that I've talked to that was at the meeting remembers anything but a unanimous final vote, and the minutes just say PASSED with no indication of a split vote. They (the minutes) do indicate the extended discussion and the 9-3-3 vote on the website issue.

Thanks for any help/feedback form your notes/memory.

Mark

From: Buchanan, Jennifer
To: McRorie, Sally; Buchanan, Jennifer
Subject: GPC: Monday, Nov 03
Date: Monday, November 3, 2014 4:46:34 PM

- Overall positive review of Economics. GPC review group didn't have access to the external reviewer's report. Only sticking point is the recommendation that the dept. put more information about funding sources (e.g., Koch bros) on public website to defuse criticisms. Will send the GPC report. Close vote, but passed.
- Also recommended a broader mix of funding.
- Faculty Senate Steering Committee has raised issues about the streamlined TA guidelines, especially Nancy's approving if programs that don't send TAs to PIE.

Jennifer Buchanan
Sent from my iPad!