# Appendix from Quintin H. Beazer, "Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Evidence from Russia" (JOP, vol. 77, no. 1, p. 000)

This appendix contains the additional analyses that space considerations prevent from reporting in full in the main document.

### Contents:

#### Appendix Tables

- A1 Poor Growth and Reelection Less Likely in Russia's Competitive Regions
- A2 Checking External Validity of Political Competition Index
- A3 Summary Statistics of Variables Used in Regression Analyses (2003–2010)
- A4 Changes in Regional Growth Before and After Reforms' Announcement
- A5 Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Private Investment
- A6 Political Centralization and Alternate Indicators of Economic Performance
- A7 Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Extended Sample (1997–2010)
- A8 Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Additional Controls
- A9 Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Alt. Measures of Political Competition
- A10 Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Additional Analyses (LDV)
- A11 Instrumental Variables Regression and First-Stage Results (Replacement)
- A12 Instrumental Variables Regression and First-Stage Results (Scheduled Turnover)
- A13 Economic Performance and Anticipation of Implemented Appointments
- A14 Economic Performance and Implemented Centralization: Plausible Mechanisms
- A15 Placebo Test: Political Centralization and Federal Investment

#### Appendix Figures

- A1 Map: Year of First Gubernatorial Appointment, by Region
- A2 Regional Economic Performance, Before and After Centralization (Simultaneous Measure)
- A3 Marginal Effects of Centralization (Three-Year Averages)
- A4 Marginal Effects of Centralization on Private Investment
- A5 Marginal Effects of Centralization on Rate of Firm Creation
- A6 Marginal Effects of Centralization on Firm Profitability
- A7 Marginal Effects of Centralization on R&D Spending
- A8 Marginal Effects of Centralization on Small Business Volume

	High Political Comp	etition	
	Was Economic Growth or Neg		
New Governor Elected?	Positive	Negative	Total
No	43	20	63
	(63.24%)	(39.22%)	(52.94%)
Yes	25	31	56
	(36.76%)	(60.78%)	(47.06%)
	68	51	119
Total	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)
	Low Political Comp	etition	
	Was Economic Growth or Neg	in Election Year Positive gative?	
New Governor Elected?	Positive	Negative	Total
No	29	17	46
	(76.32%)	(62.96%)	(70.77%)
Yes	9	10	19
	(23.68%)	(37.04%)	(29.23%)
Total	38	27	65
	(100%)	(100%)	(100%)

Table 1. Poor Growth and Reelection Less Likely in Russia's Competitive Regions

Note—Economic data from *Rosstat*, election results from Labyrinth.ru database. Column percentages in parentheses. High versus low political competition relative to sample mean. For upper table, the Pearson chi-squared statistic for the paired observation is  $\chi^2 = 6.749$ , p = 0.009. For lower table:  $\chi^2 = 1.361$ , p = 0.243.

Table	2.	Checking	External	Validity	of Political	Competition	Index
		<u> </u>		2			

	Political Cor	npetitiveness		
Variable	Low	High	$n_L, n_H$	<i>p</i> -value
Governor's Terms elected governor's # of terms, at reforms	2.563 (0.179)	1.942 (0.146)	(32, 52)	0.010
Governor's Years in Office elected governor's years in office, at reforms	8.719 (0.601)	6.904 (0.476)	(32, 52)	0.021
Region's Average Electoral Margin mean winning margin for gubernatorial elections	51.076 (4.561)	30.515 (2.544)	(31, 50)	0.000
Total Regional Governors region's # of governors, pre-reforms	2.344 (0.248)	2.731 (0.163)	(32, 52)	0.177
Organized Society number of registered civil organization (logged)	6.943 (0.233)	7.742 (0.128)	(36, 53)	0.002

Note—Political data collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, except civil organizations data from *Rosstat*. High versus low political competition relative to sample mean of POLITICAL COMPETITION; results similar if just lower and upper quartiles compared. Standard errors in parentheses below group means; *p*-values are two-tailed.

Variable	Ν	Mean	Std. Err	Min / Max	
GDP Growth	676	5.213	9.0	-28.899 / 67.829	
Private Investment	686	8.586	1.502	2.672 / 12.18	
Poltical Centralization	757	0.587	0.493	0 / 1	
Political Competition (index)	789	-0.095	2.160	-5 / 5	
GDP per capita	677	-3.102	0.718	-5.023 / -0.114	
Population (logged)	705	13.814	1.199	9.703 / 16.259	
Infrastructure	648	124.296	105.596	0.800 / 670	
Replacement	757	0.188	0.391	0 / 1	
Birth Year	756	1952.560	8.903	1930 / 1976	
Profitable Firms	768	65.293	9.802	21.620 / 89.920	
R&D Spending per firm	653	52107.890	55316.660	61.4700 / 339177.400	
Firm Birth Rate	415	106.275	26.179	33.100 / 208.500	
Small Business Volume	512	2666.572	1578.872	126.793 / 8713.418	

Table 3. Summary Statistics of Variables Used in Regression Analyses (2003-2010)

Note—Data on political competition from the Moscow Carnegie Center; appointment dates coded by author from Labyrinth.ru. All other data from *Rosstat* for years 2003–2010.

Comparison of	Political Con	npetitiveness		
$\Delta$ GDP Growth	Low	High	$n_L, n_H$	<i>p</i> -value
Immediate Change	-3.863	-1.781	(23, 20)	0.718
2004 vs. 2005	(2.705)	(2.237)		
Lagged Change	11.952	4.421	(21, 20)	0.035
2005 vs. 2006	(3.146)	(2.487)		
Excluding Ambiguous Year	7.734	2.640	(21, 20)	0.108
2004 vs. 2006	(3.604)	(1.135)		
Smoothed (Two-Year Averages)	6.526	2.747	(21, 20)	0.084
2003–04 vs. 2006–07	(2.255)	(1.416)		
Smoothed (Expanded Averages)	2.889	-3.097	(21, 20)	0.021
2003–04 vs. 2006–09	(2.511)	(1.225)		

Note—Economic data for Russia's regions from *Rosstat*, comparing average changes in GDP GROWTH by group; "Low" and "high" categories correspond with the first and fourth quartiles of POLITICAL COMPETITION, an index created using data from Moscow Carnegie Center. Standard errors in parentheses below group means; *p*-values are one-tailed, testing directional hypothesis that low-competition regions have higher mean changes in growth than high-competition regions.

## Appendix from Quintin H. Beazer, Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Evidence from Russia

DV: Private Investment		Simultaneous Centralization				Staggered Centralization			
mil. of rubles (logged)		reforms a	nnounced			actual app	pointments		
POLITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>t-1</sub>	0.607	0.787	-0.077	0.182	0.031	0.034	0.054	0.038	
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(0.063)	(0.124)	(0.053)	(0.070)	(0.054)	(0.053)	(0.039)	(0.036)	
	0.000	0.000	0.148	0.011	0.571	0.527	0.168	0.296	
Political Centralization $_{t-1}$			0.027	0.017			0.023	0.015	
mean-centered; $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$			(0.011)	(0.011)			(0.010)	(0.010)	
			0.017	0.114			0.024	0.138	
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	-0.024	-0.029	-0.028	-0.027	-0.044	-0.044	-0.030	-0.033	
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	(0.021)	(0.022)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.020)	(0.021)	(0.013)	(0.013)	
	0.258	0.191	0.033	0.034	0.030	0.035	0.019	0.014	
GDP per capita $_{t-1}$		0.291		0.189		0.275		0.186	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)		(0.251)		(0.059)		(0.252)		(0.060)	
		0.251		0.002		0.279		0.003	
POPULATION $_{t-1}$		-0.093		0.222		-0.154		0.222	
in thousands of persons (logged)		(1.180)		(0.047)		(1.133)		(0.047)	
		0.938		0.000		0.893		0.000	
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$		-0.001		0.000		-0.001		0.000	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area		(0.001)		(0.000)		(0.001)		(0.000)	
		0.270		0.867		0.160		0.897	
GDP GROWTH $_{t-1}$			0.958	0.793			0.950	0.794	
lagged dependent variable			(0.012)	(0.043)			(0.009)	(0.044)	
			0.000	0.000			0.000	0.000	
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of Observations	681	640	681	640	666	640	666	640	

|--|

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

	Profitable Firms % firms profitable		R&D Spending thous. of rubles, per firm		Firm B	irth Rate	Small Biz Volume		
					new firms / 1000 firms		thous. of rubles, per firm		
STAGGERED CENTRALIZATION <sub><math>t-1</math></sub> dummy; $1 = post-reform$		0.073 (0.647) 0.911		-2355.552 (3274.500) 0.474		-2.123 (2.336) 0.366		-233.807 (139.487) 0.098	
SIMULTANEOUS CENTRALIZATION <sub><math>t-1</math></sub> dummy; $1 = post-reform$	5.130 (0.981) 0.000	0.711	-8866.725 (3487.621) 0.013	0.474	-6.540 (3.077) 0.037	0.500	176.841 (103.547) 0.092	0.098	
CENTRALIZATION <sub><math>t-1</math></sub> × Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	-0.436 (0.208) 0.039	-0.550 (0.271) 0.046	-3503.439 (1102.210) 0.002	-4263.394 (1203.549) 0.001	-2.219 (0.665) 0.001	-2.237 (0.614) 0.000	-180.223 (55.971) 0.002	-228.415 (79.170) 0.005	
GDP PER CAPITA <sub>t-1</sub> constant 2000 rubles (logged)	1.403 (2.225) 0.530	1.143 (2.226) 0.609	-1457.593 (10720.643) 0.892	-4100.700 (10507.57) 0.697	-1.185 (12.521) 0.925	-3.263 (12.354) 0.792	1494.188 (551.825) 0.008	1350.596 (537.641) 0.014	
POPULATION <sub><math>t-1</math></sub> in thousands of persons (logged)	-19.992 (10.178) 0.053	-20.369 (10.266) 0.051	29344.306 (60090.463) 0.627	37651.286 (57029.420) 0.511	14.183 (74.848) 0.850	25.260 (73.755) 0.733	505.471 (2913.358) 0.863	486.558 (2827.327) 0.864	
INFRASTRUCTURE <sub>t-1</sub> km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	0.002 (0.012) 0.888	0.001 (0.011) 0.924	-19.573 (38.326) 0.611	-25.653 (35.132) 0.467	0.039 (0.036) 0.283	0.035 (0.034) 0.299	-4.545 (3.564) 0.206	-4.852 (3.043) 0.115	
Region Fixed Effects Year Fixed Effects Number of Observations	Yes Yes 720	Yes Yes 720	Yes Yes 632	Yes Yes 632	Yes Yes 400	Yes Yes 400	Yes Yes 480	Yes Yes 480	

Table 6. Political Centralization and	Economic	Performance:	Alternate	Performance	Indicators
---------------------------------------	----------	--------------	-----------	-------------	------------

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Due to the inclusion of region fixed effects, the interaction's constituent component POLITICAL CENTRALIZATION is dropped because it is time-invariant. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

,		GDP Growth annual change in %				Private Investment mil. of rubles (logged)			
POLITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.764	-1.351	-1.365	-0.858	0.089	0.041	0.086	0.038	
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(1.263)	(1.125)	(1.025)	(1.060)	(0.061)	(0.053)	(0.042)	(0.036)	
	0.547	0.233	0.187	0.421	0.150	0.448	0.044	0.304	
POLITICAL COMPETITION <sub><math>t-1</math></sub> mean-centered; $-5 = low, 5$			0.012	0.167			0.052	0.006	
= high			(0.177)	(0.189)			(0.014)	(0.008)	
8			0.948	0.378			0.000	0.422	
CENTRALIZATION $_{t-1}$	-0.610	-0.529	-0.571	-0.579	-0.036	-0.048	-0.027	-0.023	
$\times$ Competition <sub>t-1</sub>	(0.282)	(0.301)	(0.300)	(0.263)	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.010)	(0.011)	
£ 1	0.033	0.083	0.060	0.030	0.048	0.020	0.006	0.041	
GDP PER CAPITA <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>		-32.867		-0.529		0.418		0.213	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)		(4.645)		(0.832)		(0.254)		(0.047)	
constant 2000 rubies (1088ea)		0.000		0.527		0.103		0.000	
POPULATION $_{t-1}$		7.964		-1.123		-1.117		0.224	
in thousands of persons									
(logged)		(20.874)		(0.621)		(0.906)		(0.045)	
		0.704		0.074		0.221		0.000	
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$		0.002		0.004		-0.001		0.000	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land									
area		(0.016)		(0.005)		(0.001)		(0.000)	
		0.886		0.427		0.072		0.960	
GDP GROWTH <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>			0.033	-0.076					
lagged dependent variable			(0.035)	(0.045)					
PRIVATE INVESTMENT <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>			0.354	0.095			0.842	0.782	
lagged dependent variable							(0.032)	(0.037)	
							0.000	0.000	
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of Observations	1134	799	1061	799	1222	799	1221	799	

Table 7. Political Centralization and Economic Performance,	Extended Sam	ple (incl.	pre-2003)	)
---	--------------	------------	-----------	---

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Models use the staggered measure of political centralization. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

	Table 8. Politic	al Centralization	and Economic	Performance:	Additional	Controls
--	------------------	-------------------	--------------	--------------	------------	----------

		GDP (	Growth			Private In	nvestment		
		annual ch	ange in %			mil. of rub	les (logged)		
Political Centralization $_{t-1}$	1.198	0.083	-1.138	-1.235	0.077	0.047	0.014	0.024	
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(1.175)	(1.042)	(1.349)	(1.070)	(0.059)	(0.039)	(0.057)	(0.048)	
	0.311	0.937	0.401	0.252	0.195	0.232	0.805	0.616	
Political Competition $_{t-1}$		0.581		0.554		0.016		0.016	
mean-centered; $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$		(0.253)		(0.217)		(0.010)		(0.010)	
		0.024		0.013		0.130		0.118	
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	-1.068	-1.023	-0.869	-1.302	-0.048	-0.034	-0.032	-0.046	
× Competition <sub>t-1</sub>	(0.392)	(0.350)	(0.437)	(0.454)	(0.021)	(0.013)	(0.027)	(0.022)	
	0.008	0.005	0.050	0.005	0.028	0.012	0.230	0.041	
GDP PER CAPITA $_{t-1}$	-39.170	-0.679	-50.344	-1.608	0.460	0.192	0.176	0.169	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(4.780)	(1.002)	(5.873)	(0.916)	(0.230)	(0.060)	(0.374)	(0.059)	
	0.000	0.500	0.000	0.083	0.049	0.002	0.638	0.005	
$POPULATION_{t-1}$	-49.475	-0.738	4.110	-0.553	-1.122	0.228	0.437	0.219	
in thousands of persons (logged)	(21.050)	(0.728)	(25.090)	(0.731)	(1.037)	(0.048)	(1.244)	(0.047)	
	0.021	0.314	0.870	0.452	0.282	0.000	0.726	0.000	
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$	0.010	0.002	0.041	0.010	-0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.016)	(0.005)	(0.028)	(0.005)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	
	0.560	0.738	0.142	0.057	0.246	0.867	0.524	0.224	
Time Trend	1.036	-0.670			0.100	-0.005			
	(0.389)	(0.206)			(0.018)	(0.008)			
	0.009	0.002			0.000	0.489			
OIL PRODUCTION $_{t-1}$			2.745	0.399			-0.037	0.007	
thousands of tons (logged)			(1.649)	(0.134)			(0.089)	(0.004)	
			0.100	0.004			0.675	0.085	
Dependent Variable <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>		0.008		0.116		0.788		0.791	
lagged DV		(0.035)		(0.037)		(0.044)		(0.042)	
		0.817		0.002		0.000		0.000	
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	
Number of Observations	640	640	473	473	640	640	473	473	

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Models use the staggered measure of political centralization. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

annual change (%)         Openness         Company           PolITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>I-1</sub> $6.501$ $7.364$ $5.82$ PolITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>I-1</sub> $6.501$ $7.364$ $5.82$ dummy: $I = post-reform$ $0.076$ $0.008$ $0.12$ Compertition MEASURE <sub>I-1</sub> $0.076$ $0.008$ $0.12$ various, as indicated $0.076$ $1.924$ $0.058$ CENTRALIZATION <sub>I-1</sub> $-2.206$ $-2.682$ $-2.00$ X COMPETITION MEASURE <sub>I-1</sub> $(1.056)$ $(0.854)$ $(1.14)$ CENTRALIZATION <sub>I-1</sub> $-2.206$ $-2.682$ $-2.00$ CONDENTITION MEASURE <sub>I-1</sub> $0.040$ $0.002$ $0.07$	Competitive 5.826	E						
DOLITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>t-1</sub> 6.501         7.364         5.82           dummy; $l = post-reform$ (3.611)         (2.707)         (3.72           dummy; $l = post-reform$ 0.076         0.008         0.12           Compertition Measure_{t-1}         0.076         0.008         0.12           various, as indicated         0.056         -2.682         -2.06           CENTRALIZATION <sub>t-1</sub> -2.206         -2.682         -2.0           x COMPETITION MEASURE <sub>t-1</sub> 0.040         0.005         0.12           .         0.040         0.076         0.07         0.06	5.826	Elections	Plural	ism	-I = low, 0 =	mid, I = high	civil organizati	ons (logged)
$dummy; \ l = post-reform \qquad (3.611) \qquad (2.707) \qquad (3.72) \qquad (3.611) \qquad (2.707) \qquad (3.72) \qquad (3.72)$		3.706	7.240	6.328	-0.351	-0.886	23.640	14.952
0.076 0.008 0.12 COMPETITION MEASURE <sub><i>i</i>-1</sub> 0.076 0.008 0.12 <i>various, as indicated</i> 0.658 CENTRALEZATION <sub><i>i</i>-1</sub> -2.206 -2.682 -2.06 × COMPETITION MEASURE <sub><i>i</i>-1</sub> (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.07 GDD BER CARTA0.717 -38 50.07	(3.725)	(3.407)	(3.744)	(3.356)	(1.023)	(0.998)	(7.671)	(10.136)
Competition Measure <sub>i</sub> -1 1.924 <i>various, as indicated</i> 1.658 CENTRALIZATION <sub>i</sub> -1 -2.206 -2.682 -2.06 × Competition Measure <sub>i</sub> -1 (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.07 GDD berg CADTA - 38 3.04 -0.717 -38 5.06	0.122	0.280	0.057	0.063	0.733	0.377	0.003	0.144
<i>various, as indicated</i> (0.658) 0.005 СЕИТКАЛЕДАТЮN <sub>1-1</sub> -2.206 -2.682 -2.06 × Сомретитон Меаѕике <sub>1-1</sub> (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.07 67Db вер слоги0.717 -38.83		0.482		1.406		1.479	-3.971	-1.839
0.005 CENTRALEATION <sub>I-1</sub> -2.206 -2.682 -2.06 × Competition Measure <sub>i-1</sub> (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.07 GDD berg CADTA0.717 -38 3.04 -0.717 -38 6.05		(0.755)		(0.702)		(0.833)	(3.893)	(1.443)
CENTRALIZATION <sub>I-1</sub> -2.206 -2.682 -2.06 × Competition Measure <sub>i-1</sub> (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.05 GDD berg CADTA0.71738 3.04 -0.71738 5.06		0.525		0.049		0.080	0.311	0.206
× Competition Measure, (1.056) (0.854) (1.14 0.040 0.002 0.07 GDD bed Carbin38 3.04 -0.717 -38 5.0	-2.063	-1.544	-2.585	-2.460	-1.950	-2.184	-3.205	-2.166
0.040 0.002 0.07 GDD berg CABTA - 0.717 - 38.55	(1.148)	(1.010)	(1.165)	(1.043)	(1.273)	(1.018)	(0.986)	(1.304)
GDD beb $C_{ADT}$ and $C_{ADT$	0.076	0.130	0.029	0.021	0.129	0.035	0.002	0.101
	-38.581	-0.424	-38.725	-0.458	-38.559	-0.558	-39.632	-0.017
<i>constant 2000 rubles (logged)</i> (5.154) (1.036) (5.14	(5.146)	(6660)	(5.018)	(0.992)	(5.137)	(0.995)	(5.048)	(0.993)
0.000 0.491 0.00	0.000	0.672	0.000	0.646	0.000	0.577	0.000	0.987
POPULATION <sub>t-1</sub> -21.283 -0.853 -25.77	-25.778	-0.581	-20.209	-0.716	-22.267	-0.761	-22.150	1.813
in thousands of persons (logged) (23.365) (0.694) (23.35	(23.350)	(0.698)	(23.385)	(0.724)	(23.320)	(0.699)	(22.317)	(1.174)
0.365 0.222 0.27	0.273	0.408	0.390	0.326	0.343	0.280	0.324	0.126
INFRASTRUCTURE <sub>t-1</sub> 0.013 0.002 0.00	0.008	0.002	0.011	0.003	0.011	0.003	0.028	0.005
km paved roads / $km^2$ land area (0.015) (0.004) (0.01	(0.016)	(0.005)	(0.015)	(0.004)	(0.015)	(0.004)	(0.016)	(0.005)
0.388 0.580 0.60	0.609	0.661	0.456	0.498	0.451	0.486	0.090	0.295
GDP GROWTH <sub>t-1</sub> 0.009		0.015		0.012		0.015		0.002
lagged dependent variable (0.038)		(0.038)		(0.038)		(0.038)		(0.036)
0.81		0.695		0.754		0.706		0.964
Region Fixed Effects Yes No Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Year Fixed Effects Yes Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of Observations 640 640 640	640	640	640	640	640	640	645	644

Table 9. Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Alt. Measures of Political Competition

## Appendix from Quintin H. Beazer, Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Evidence from Russia

DV: GDP Growth	Simultaneous	s Centralization	Staggered (	Staggered Centralization	
annual change (%)	1 <sup>st</sup> stage	$2^{nd}$ stage	1 <sup>st</sup> stage	$2^{nd}$ stage	
POLITICAL CENTRALIZATION <sub>t-1</sub>	0.144	9.407	0.245	-0.996	
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(0.053)	(1.856)	(0.048)	(1.351)	
	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.461	
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	0.005	-0.735	0.013	-0.997	
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	(0.013)	(0.362)	(0.017)	(0.385)	
	0.696	0.042	0.454	0.010	
GDP per capita $_{t-1}$	0.185	-38.384	0.202	-38.998	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(0.160)	(4.963)	(0.144)	(5.094)	
	0.251	0.000	0.165	0.000	
POPULATION $_{t-1}$	0.657	-24.968	0.251	-22.983	
in thousands of persons (logged)	(0.792)	(22.491)	(0.780)	(22.380)	
	0.409	0.267	0.749	0.304	
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$	0.000	0.011	-0.0002	0.010	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.001)	(0.015)	(0.001)	(0.016)	
-	0.712	0.445	0.722	0.559	
(2SLS): REPLACEMENT $_{t-1}$		1.489		1.889	
dummy, $1 = incumbent$ replaced $during$ reforms		(2.008)		(2.345)	
		0.458		0.420	
BIRTH YEAR $_{t-1}$	0.032		0.030		
governor birth year	(0.004)		(0.004)		
	0.000		0.000		
F-stat of excluded instruments	56.22		52.25		
F-stat (p-value)	0.000		0.000		
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Number of Observations	640	640	640	640	

Tab	le 10.	Instrumental	Variables	Regression	and First-Stage	e Results	(Rep)	lacement
							· · ·	

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments by author from Labyrinth.ru, and data on political competition from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all other data from *Rosstat*. Statistical models represent two-stage least squares regression (2SLS) using BIRTH YEAR to instrument for governors' replacement. Parameter estimates for fixed effects not presented to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

	First-Stage Resul	ts: Endogenous Regressors		
	Centralization	Centralization × Competition	GDP Growth annual change in %	Private Investment mil. of rubles (logged)
(2SLS): STAGGERED CENTRALIZATION $_{t-1}$			-1.415	0.047
dummy; 1 = post-reform			(1.814)	(0.069)
			0.435	0.495
(2SLS): CENTRALIZATION $_{t-1}$			-1.091	-0.038
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>			(0.485)	(0.022)
			0.024	0.078
GDP per capita <sub>t-1</sub>	0.056	0.333	-38.520	0.273
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(0.091)	(0.228)	(5.134)	(0.247)
	0.540	0.148	0.000	0.268
POPULATION $_{t-1}$	0.099	-0.436	-22.041	-0.144
in thousands of persons (logged)	(0.397)	(0.914)	(23.074)	(1.151)
	0.804	0.635	0.339	0.900
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$	0.0005	-0.0001	0.010	-0.001
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.0004)	(0.0009)	(0.016)	(0.001)
	0.246	0.933	0.532	0.174
ELECTORAL SCHEDULE $t-1$	0.690	0.093		
dummy; 1 = scheduled turnover, post-reform era	(0.053)	(0.135)		
	0.000	0.494		
Electoral Schedule <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	0.011	0.917		
$\times$ Competition <sub>t-1</sub>	(0.006)	(0.026)		
	0.098	0.000		
F-stat of excluded instruments	88.260	640.140		
F-stat ( <i>p</i> -value)	0.000	0.000		
Partial $R^2$ of excluded instruments	0.489	0.803		
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of Observations	640	640	640	640

Table 11. Instrumental	Variables Regression	and First-Stage Results	(Scheduled Turnover)
------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments by author from Labyrinth.ru, and data on political competition from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all other data from *Rosstat*. Statistical models represent two-stage least squares regression (2SLS) using ELECTORAL SCHEDULE to instrument for regions' introduction to gubernatorial appointments. Parameter estimates for fixed effects not presented to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

Table 12. Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Additional Analyses (L
---

DV: GDP Growth	Aver	rages		Repla	cement		Alt Timing	
annual change (%)	3-year avg.	pre vs. post		controlling for	r gov. turnover		scheduled	
SIMULTANEOUS CENTRALIZATION $_{t-1}$	0.418 (0.418)		-2.900 (1.599)		2.678 (2.374)			
dummy; 1 = post-reform	0.320		0.074		0.259			
STAGGERED CENTRALIZATION $_{t-1}$		-1.426		-1.218		-0.376		
		(0.540)		(1.098)		(2.446)		
dummy; 1 = post-reform		0.010		0.271		0.878		
Political Competition $_{t-1}$	0.304	0.079	0.720	0.521	0.752	0.561	0.546	
mean-centered; $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$	(0.131)	(0.183)	(0.269)	(0.246)	(0.287)	(0.269)	(0.248)	
	0.023	0.668	0.009	0.037	0.009	0.037	0.027	
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	-0.667	-0.504	-0.994	-0.991	-0.972	-0.928		
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	(0.237)	(0.299)	(0.353)	(0.350)	(0.343)	(0.352)		
	0.006	0.096	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.008		
GDP GROWTH $_{t-1}$	0.604	0.733	0.017	0.007	0.023	0.016	0.010	
lagged dependent variable	(0.028)	(0.061)	(0.039)	(0.037)	(0.042)	(0.043)	(0.037)	
	0.000	0.000	0.653	0.851	0.582	0.720	0.795	
GDP per capita <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	0.381	1.144	-0.522	-0.438	-0.637	-0.635	-0.476	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(0.298)	(0.383)	(0.955)	(0.991)	(0.934)	(1.019)	(0.986)	
	0.204	0.004	0.586	0.660	0.495	0.533	0.630	
$POPULATION_{t-1}$	0.400	0.122	-0.749	-0.637	-0.864	-0.811	-0.686	
in thousands of persons (logged)	(0.213)	(0.249)	(0.707)	(0.699)	(0.841)	(0.906)	(0.699)	
	0.065	0.625	0.293	0.365	0.304	0.371	0.326	
$INFRASTRUCTURE_{t-1}$	0.005	-0.001	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
	0.007	0.823	0.564	0.628	0.508	0.559	0.554	
$\text{Replacement}_{t-1}$			0.721	1.213				
dummy, 1 = incumbent replaced			(0.734)	(0.862)				
			0.329	0.163				
Replacement $(IV)_{t-1}$					-1.624	-1.824		
2SLS using governors' birth year					(6.569)	(7.824)		
					0.805	0.816		
CENTRALIZATION $(IV)_{t-1}$							-1.600	
2SLS using scheduled turnover							(1.478)	
							0.279	
CENTRALIZATION $(IV)_{t-1}$							-1.006	
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>							(0.395)	
							0.011	
First-stage F-stat					4.81	4.47	105.09	
F-stat <i>p</i> -value					0.031	0.038	0.000	
Region Fixed Effects	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Year Fixed Effects	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of Observations	160	156	640	640	640	640	640	

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments and birth year collected by author and data on political competition from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all other data from Rosstat. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented to save space. The first two columns compare changes in three-year regional averages directly before and after reforms. The fifth and sixth columns use two-stage least squares regression (2SLS) with BIRTH YEAR as an instrument for governors' replacement; the last column reports results from 2SLS regression using ELECTORAL SCHEDULE to instrument for regions' introduction to reforms. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

DV: GDP Growth						
annual change (%)	One-Yea	ar Lead	Two-Ye	ar Lead	Three-Ye	ear Lead
CENTRALIZATION (ANTICIPATED)	1.904	0.786	-0.390	-1.172	0.468	-1.065
dummy; 1 = reform	(1.179)	(0.817)	(1.274)	(1.257)	(1.186)	(1.383)
	0.110	0.339	0.760	0.354	0.694	0.444
POLITICAL COMPETITION		0.545		0.304		0.231
mean-centered; $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$		(0.309)		(0.394)		(0.586)
		0.081		0.442		0.694
CENTRALIZATION	-0.190	-0.602	0.422	-0.294	0.612	-0.098
× Competition	(0.298)	(0.320)	(0.447)	(0.381)	(0.595)	(0.563)
	0.526	0.064	0.349	0.442	0.306	0.862
GDP per capita <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	-38.536	-0.611	-42.545	-0.663	-48.797	-0.525
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(4.798)	(0.966)	(5.370)	(1.043)	(5.759)	(0.851)
	0.000	0.529	0.000	0.527	0.000	0.539
$POPULATION_{t-1}$	-18.314	-0.763	-13.119	-1.071	2.230	-0.068
in thousands of persons (logged)	(23.167)	(0.715)	(26.737)	(0.765)	(25.871)	(0.700)
	0.432	0.289	0.625	0.165	0.932	0.923
INFRASTRUCTURE $_{t-1}$	0.014	0.002	0.015	0.004	0.032	0.009
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.014)	(0.005)	(0.018)	(0.005)	(0.028)	(0.005)
	0.294	0.609	0.413	0.449	0.253	0.083
GDP GROWTH $_{t-1}$		0.025		0.070		0.111
lagged dependent variable		(0.040)		(0.057)		(0.046)
		0.540		0.228		0.018
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of Observations	640	640	560	560	480	480

Tabl	le 13.	Economic	Performance	and A	Antici	oation	of In	nplemented	l Apr	pointments	s

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

Table 14. Economic Performance and	l Staggered	Centralization:	Plausible	Mechanisms
------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------	-----------	------------

DV: GDP Growth	Selection excl. replaced governors		Uncertainty					
annual change (%) Political Centralization <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>			early appointees		late appointees		interacted with time	
	-0.577	-0.904	0.089	-1.548	-0.533	-0.332	0.467	-2.346
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(1.368)	(1.202)	(1.397)	(1.750)	(3.286)	(2.908)	(1.957)	(2.170)
	0.675	0.456	0.949	0.380	0.873	0.910	0.812	0.283
Political Competition $_{t-1}$		0.461		0.614		0.448		0.715
<i>mean-centered;</i> $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$		(0.239)		(0.269)		(0.646)		(0.251)
		0.059		0.027		0.495		0.006
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	-1.424	-1.257	-1.141	-1.051	0.260	-0.442	-0.996	-2.179
× Competition $_{t-1}$	(0.519)	(0.451)	(0.435)	(0.393)	(1.111)	(0.897)	(0.645)	(0.790)
	0.008	0.007	0.011	0.010	0.817	0.627	0.127	0.007
YEARS POST-ANNOUNCEMENT $_{t-1}$							2.230	-0.621
years since reforms announced							(0.588)	(0.436)
							0.000	0.158
$COMPETITION_{t-1}$							-0.169	-0.392
× Post-Announcement <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>							(0.180)	(0.177)
							0.349	0.029
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$							-0.351	0.546
× Post-Announcement <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>							(0.726)	(0.725)
							0.630	0.453
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1} \times COMPETITION_{t-1}$							0.162	0.692
× Post-ANNOUNCEMENT <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>							(0.199)	(0.248)
							0.416	0.007
GDP PER CAPITA <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	-43.141	-2.009	-42.302	-0.434	-35.061	-1.413	-38.492	-0.485
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(3.450)	(0.872)	(3.672)	(0.924)	(10.634)	(4.544)	(5.394)	(0.968)
	0.000	0.025	0.000	0.640	0.003	0.759	0.000	0.618
POPULATION $_{t-1}$	-32.139	0.651	-38.727	-0.850	39.38	-0.058	-23.950	-0.696
in thousands of persons (logged)	(27.596)	(0.537)	(23.746)	(0.791)	(48.859)	(1.508)	(23.608)	(0.707)
······································	0.250	0.231	0.109	0.287	0.429	0.969	0.313	0.328
$INFRASTRUCTURE_{t-1}$	0.025	-0.002	0.010	0.004	0.040	-0.005	0.010	0.003
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.019)	(0.006)	(0.018)	(0.004)	(0.033)	(0.020)	(0.016)	(0.004)
	0.182	0.778	0.584	0.371	0.236	0.799	0.515	0.545
GDP GROWTH $_{t-1}$		0.024		0.008		0.012		0.018
lagged dependent variable		(0.045)		(0.050)		(0.056)		(0.040)
38 1		0.594		0.870		0.840		0.645
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of Observations	416	416	448	448	192	192	640	640

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments and birth year collected by author, and data on political competition from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all other data from *Rosstat.* "Early" refers to regions with appointments between 2005 and 2007, "late" refers to regions with appointments 2008 or after. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.

## Appendix from Quintin H. Beazer, Political Centralization and Economic Performance: Evidence from Russia

DV: Federal Investment					
mil. of rubles (logged)	Simultaneous	Centralization	Staggered Centralization>		
Political Centralization $_{t-1}$	0.340	0.042	0.022	0.044	
dummy; 1 = post-reform	(0.180)	(0.073)	(0.061)	(0.055)	
	0.062	0.570	0.717	0.426	
Political Competition $_{t-1}$		0.006		0.012	
mean-centered; $-5 = low$ , $5 = high$		(0.010)		(0.010)	
		0.550		0.211	
$CENTRALIZATION_{t-1}$	0.010	0.005	-0.003	-0.005	
× Competition <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>	(0.020)	(0.011)	(0.022)	(0.011)	
	0.623	0.632	0.877	0.652	
GDP per capita $_{t-1}$	-0.158	-0.004	-0.147	-0.006	
constant 2000 rubles (logged)	(0.339)	(0.033)	(0.339)	(0.034)	
	0.642	0.910	0.667	0.85	
$POPULATION_{t-1}$	2.313	0.162	2.187	0.160	
in thousands of persons (logged)	(1.591)	(0.032)	(1.583)	(0.032)	
	0.150	0.000	0.171	0.000	
$INFRASTRUCTURE_{t-1}$	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	
km paved roads / km <sup>2</sup> land area	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	
	0.315	0.269	0.339	0.243	
Federal Investment <sub><math>t-1</math></sub>		0.829		0.830	
lagged dependent variable		(0.031)		(0.031)	
		0.000		0.000	
Region Fixed Effects	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of Observations	640	640	640	640	

Note—Data on Russian gubernatorial appointments collected by author from Labyrinth.ru, and measures of political competition come from the Moscow Carnegie Center; all economic data from *Rosstat*. Parameter estimates for fixed effects and model constants not presented in table to save space. Region-clustered standard errors in parentheses; *p*-values appear below standard errors.



Figure 1. Year of first gubernatorial appointment, by region. Data collected by author from the Labyrinth biographical database on Russian political figures.



Figure 2. Russian regional economic performance, before and after centralization (simultaneous measure). Plot compares group means of year-on-year economic growth (in percentages) in Russia's regions for the three years proceeding and following the announcement of the gubernatorial appointment system. "Low" and "high" political competition groups include those regions in the first (n = 21) and fourth (n = 21) quartiles of POLITICAL COMPETITION, respectively. Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. This plot is the companion to Figure 1 in the article.



Figure 3. Marginal effects of centralization (three-year averages). The dependent variable is year-on-year change in regional GDP in percentage terms, averaged over three years before and after centralization; based on the first two columns of Table 3 in the paper. Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.



Figure 4. Marginal effects of centralization on private investment. The dependent variable is investment by private sector enterprises in fixed capital assets (logged millions of constant rubles). Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.



Figure 5. Marginal effects of centralization on rate of firm creation. The dependent variable is new firms registered per 1000 existing firms. Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.



Figure 6. Marginal effects of centralization on firm profitability. The dependent variable is profitable enterprises as percent of total enterprises. Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.



Figure 7. Marginal effects of centralization on R&D spending. The dependent variable is spending on research and development (per firm average, in thousands of constant rubles). Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.



Figure 8. Marginal effects of centralization on small business volume. The dependent variable is small business volume (averaged per small firm, in millions of constant rubles). Bands represent 90% confidence intervals. Markers along the bottom of the graph indicate (jittered) location of regions on the x-axis.